



Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	
Rep. Ref.	

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details		2. Agent's Details (if	applicable)	
Title			Mr		
First Name			Peter J		
Last Name			Frampton		
Job Title (where relevant)					
Organisation (where relevant)	Trustees of Stoneleigh	Trustees of Stoneleigh Abbey		Framptons	
Address Line 1		Aylesford House			
Address Line 2			72 Clarendo	on Street	
Address Line 3			Leamington Spa		
Address Line 4			Warwickshire		
Postcode			CV32 4PE		
Telephone number			01295 672310		
Email address			peter.frampton@fra	amptons-planni	
Would you like to be made aware	e of future consultations or	the new Local P	lan? X Yes	No	
About You: Gender					
Ethnic Origin					
Age	Under 16	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	
	45 - 54	55 - 64	65+		


Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

	Housing Allocations
Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)	4.4 (4.4.2-4.4.4)
ranagraph nomber / nedding / Sobnedding (interevant)	
Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)	
What is the nature of your representation?	Support X Object
Please set out full details of your objection or representation could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sh	
The following submissions are made on behalf It is considered that Stoneleigh should be ident	
account of its relationship to the major employr	
Stoneleigh Park, Coventry and Warwick Parkw	ay and to larger centres of population at
Kenilworth, Leamington Spa and Warwick. The	
on the accompanying plan. It is considered that	
Green Belt without seriously impacting upon the land within the Green Belt.	ne fundamental purposes for the inclusion of
It is acknowledged that development on this lar	adholding would result in an encroachment of
development into the Green Belt. However it is	
•	
assessed needs of the District – particularly for	Housing can not be met without expansion of
assessed needs of the District – particularly for the existing built up areas; as it is evident from	
	the Revised Development Strategy. The
the existing built up areas; as it is evident from	the Revised Development Strategy. The ill need to be removed from the Green Belt to
the existing built up areas; as it is evident from Housing Allocations acknowledges that land wi	the Revised Development Strategy. The ill need to be removed from the Green Belt to g in villages within the Green Belt.
the existing built up areas; as it is evident from Housing Allocations acknowledges that land will accommodate a modest amount of new housing It is submitted that Stoneleigh should be added Service Village in Table RDS5.	the Revised Development Strategy. The ill need to be removed from the Green Belt to g in villages within the Green Belt. It to the list of settlements as a Secondary
the existing built up areas; as it is evident from Housing Allocations acknowledges that land will accommodate a modest amount of new housing It is submitted that Stoneleigh should be added Service Village in Table RDS5. It is submitted that a new village envelope should be added to submitted that a new village envelope should be add	the Revised Development Strategy. The ill need to be removed from the Green Belt to g in villages within the Green Belt. It to the list of settlements as a Secondary
the existing built up areas; as it is evident from Housing Allocations acknowledges that land will accommodate a modest amount of new housing It is submitted that Stoneleigh should be added Service Village in Table RDS5.	the Revised Development Strategy. The ill need to be removed from the Green Belt to g in villages within the Green Belt. It to the list of settlements as a Secondary
the existing built up areas; as it is evident from Housing Allocations acknowledges that land will accommodate a modest amount of new housing It is submitted that Stoneleigh should be added Service Village in Table RDS5. It is submitted that a new village envelope should be added to submitted that a new village envelope should be add	the Revised Development Strategy. The ill need to be removed from the Green Belt to g in villages within the Green Belt. It to the list of settlements as a Secondary
the existing built up areas; as it is evident from Housing Allocations acknowledges that land will accommodate a modest amount of new housing It is submitted that Stoneleigh should be added Service Village in Table RDS5. It is submitted that a new village envelope should be added to submitted that a new village envelope should be add	the Revised Development Strategy. The ill need to be removed from the Green Belt to g in villages within the Green Belt. It to the list of settlements as a Secondary
the existing built up areas; as it is evident from Housing Allocations acknowledges that land will accommodate a modest amount of new housing It is submitted that Stoneleigh should be added Service Village in Table RDS5. It is submitted that a new village envelope should be added to submitted that a new village envelope should be add	the Revised Development Strategy. The ill need to be removed from the Green Belt to g in villages within the Green Belt. It to the list of settlements as a Secondary
the existing built up areas; as it is evident from Housing Allocations acknowledges that land will accommodate a modest amount of new housing It is submitted that Stoneleigh should be added Service Village in Table RDS5. It is submitted that a new village envelope should be added to submitted that a new village envelope should be add	the Revised Development Strategy. The ill need to be removed from the Green Belt to g in villages within the Green Belt. It to the list of settlements as a Secondary

For Official Use Only

Ref:



Site Location Plan Dwg. No. PJF/omjt/PJ/8790.01