

## Gypsy and Traveller Site Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only  
 Ref:   
 Rep. Ref. 9777

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options.

**If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.**

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

### Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Dafydd	
Last Name	GODDARD	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations?		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?	FACEBOOK	

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

- The GP surgeries in B. Tachbrook and Whitnash are already at capacity and would be unable to cope with an influx of new patients. The primary school in B. Tachbrook is oversubscribed and is a small village school. Children from within the parish failed to obtain a place recently. An influx of new pupils with additional educational needs due to high rates of illiteracy would place undue pressure on the school.
- There are no dental practices in the area.
- There are no pavements between the proposed site and the village which would be a hazard. There is no bus stop near to the site and due to the speed of road and volume of traffic along the A452 there would be no safe location to provide one.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

The junction of Malvern Road with A452 is already busy and hazardous particularly at peak times and would not provide safe provision for caravans and towing vehicles. Should horses escape onto the road or nearby motorway the consequences could be severe.

- B' Tachbrook does not offer employment opportunities and has a high proportion of elderly residents. The village is not well-suited to support traditional traveller employment or new opportunities.
- The site would have a clear visual impact on a major tourist route into Warwick.

General points:

- Why are so many potential sites focused in South Warwickshire and in particular around the village of B' Tachbrook?
- Why does each site require separate objections? It is unreasonable to expect each resident to object separately to all seven sites in the area.
- Why is there no guidance on maximum occupancy as this makes a huge difference. You may quote the number of pitches but how does this translate into people?
- The images you have used in your documentation of traveller sites are a world away from realistic sites and give a misleading perspective.
- The attitude and unwillingness to listen (to well-founded opinions) of council employees at consultation meetings has been offensive and laughable.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.