

24th July 2013

Development Policy Manager Warwick District Council Riverside House Milverton Hill Leamington Spa CV32 5QH

Dear Sir



Revised Development Plan

Gypsy and Traveller Sites

Although the Council is obliged to provide these sites, who is responsible for the costs of the upkeep once they have been established, are all the costs involved paid for by the gypsies / travellers with no financial burden on other residents?

No one wants these sites to be in "their back yard" but we would object very strongly to the proposal that a possible site be situated near to the Guide Dog breeding centre on the Banbury Road. The Guide Dog Association have recently invested a considerable amount of money in establishing this site away from other development and possible infection from other dogs. They would not wish such a site to be established nearby.

Sites for Development

We feel that the projection as to the amount of housing required in the area has been exaggerated and that nowhere near this quantity of housing is necessary.

Why is the North Leamington and Kenilworth Green Belt land more precious than that south of Leamington and Warwick? This is particularly relevant when the Council has recently approved a large industrial development near Bagington, which logically requires housing nearby.

Apart from the M40, there are only a few north/south roads in the area and these are all now extremely congested at peak times. The proposed alterations to some traffic islands would only transfer problems elsewhere, in particular to the centre of Warwick where Air Pollution from exhausts is already above approved levels, which the Council is required by law to reduce.

The proposal would put heavy pressure on the hospital, schools and other local services, water and drainage.

Warwick in particular has recently had more than sufficient housing development and more is not acceptable.

Yours faithfully

Trevor & Anne P. Wills