LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



Gypsy and Traveller Site Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only
Ref: \$162.
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

WORD OF MOUTH

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR EDWARD KIRKBY	no a la secondidade de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la
First Name	EDWARD	in suchu prisolo (blo:A
Last Name	KIRKBY	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)	TOTAL ATTRIBEST (BERNE) TURN NOT SERVE	
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of	future consultations on Gypsy Traveller site	es? Yes No
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consu	tation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mo	uth, exhibitions, bin hanger?

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and

Sheet

sustainability. These are the criteria:

ise set	e nature of your representation? Support VObject Comment out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above. EATTACHED
ise set	out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
ise set	out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
ise set	out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
ise set	out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
ise set	out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
ise set	out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
ise set	out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
ise set	out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
at is th	o notive of your concentration? Comment
	are you responding to? - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)
e dive	your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.
-	Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.
-	Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
-	Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
-	Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
	environment; and,
-	Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic
-	Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
	Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
Ted	
Nu aer	Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
e sol	Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding; Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;

SHET Z 0+ 14

0: "	
Site #	Comments Value access to this site is from an already beautily utilised road.
5	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
rever	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
eprid eprid	Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.
beloc peded e historic	Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.
or the mis land istle	The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites). In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.
bad _s ys	The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.
The site	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
neons, ns or ult which istructure istructure	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.
lotally on the de s ritto ent would olaces	community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's
t sMASI	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
Teem of La	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to

Site #	Comments
	provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
er na 143	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development.
6	This site is situated on historic landfills, which though closed may still have the potential to release greenhouse gases and are unsuitable for any form of permanent habitation and occupation.
	This site is close to the Asps, which Warwick District Council decided that should remain open due to there value as a backdrop to the historic Warwick Castle Park. The Revised Development Strategy, therefore, excludes the Asps and should also exclude the adjoining sites for the same reason.
	There have been a number of reported wild deer sightings on this land and there is a population of deer that roam freely across the Castle grounds and onto this site and beyond.
	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
	Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.
	Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.
lessed Moreover Mentalessed	The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites). In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.

Site #	Comments
	The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Learnington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Learnington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Learnington over time.
	Summary
nadu tha dous el sione	Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. This site is an ex landfill site and is not suitable for development and / or occupation. This site is situated on historic landfills, which though closed may still have the potential to release greenhouse gases and are unsuitable for
	any form of permanent habitation and occupation.
	This site is close to the Asps, which Warwick District Council decided that should remain open due to there value as a backdrop to the historic Warwick Castle Park. The Revised Development Strategy, therefore, excludes the Asps and should also exclude the adjoining sites for the same reason.

Site	#	100000	Co	mm	ent	0
CILC		1200001000	\sim	ACCRECATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P		

and there is a population of deer that roam freely across the Castle grounds and onto this site and beyond.

Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.

This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.

Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.

Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.

The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites). In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.

The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.

This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.

WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.

WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.

Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.

Site #	Comments
end by	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. This site is an
10	ex landfill site and is not suitable for development and / or occupation. This site is situated adjacent to historic landfills, which though closed may still have the potential to release greenhouse gases are unsuitable for any form of permanent habitation and occupation.
	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
	Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.
17 (1990) 10 (20) 1 (20) (19	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's

JH 78 F - 3242

Site#	Comments
	surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
700 700 H	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
12	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. This site is an ex landfill site and is not suitable for development and / or occupation. This site is within (part) and otherwise immediately adjacent to areas
alls or T	identified by the Environment Agency as having significant flood risk.
no an	This site has inadequate pedestrian crossing facilities for safe access into the village of Barford.
attucture on the	Vehicular access to this site is from the A429 trunk road, which was constructed as a bypass to Barford. Even though it has a 60 mph speed limit there have been a significant number of accidents, including a fatality. The existing access into this site is entirely inadequate.
of to ent would praces	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
e with the	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
C 451	Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.
Mesovice Mesovice Mesovice Pocotice Mesovice	Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places

SUEET 8 of 14

Site #	Comments
Ane and	for their children.
	The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites). In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.
	The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. There is significant risk of flooding. It is not safe to cross the A429 and access the village of Barford on foot.
15	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.

0:1- #	
Site #	Comments
RAPs 1- Frads) (I to neet	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
H)	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. The site is not for sale and not available for development.
16	The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site is the flood compensation area from the Barford bypass build and contains a permanent central pond and is unsuitable for any form of development.
	This site is within (part) and otherwise immediately adjacent to areas identified by the Environment Agency as having significant flood risk.
, been	This site has inadequate pedestrian crossing facilities for safe access into the village of Barford.
	Vehicular access to this site is from the A429 trunk road, which was

SUEET 10 of 14

Site #	Comments
Make	constructed as a bypass to Barford. Even though it has a 60 mph speed
	limit there have been a significant number of accidents, including a

Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.

fatality. The existing access into this site is entirely inadequate.

This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.

Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.

Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.

The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites). In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.

The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.

This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.

WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.

WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.

Ecology and Environment - the site has ecological value and

Site#	Comments
	environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. There is significant risk of flooding. It is not safe to cross the A429 and access the village of Barford on foot.
17 deg	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to

SHEET 12 04 14

provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time. Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site is not for sale and not available for development. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe. This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses. WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible	THE	7 2 4 14
and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time. Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site is not for sale and not available for development. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe. This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses. WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car. Ecology and Environment – the si	Site #	
Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site is not for sale and not available for development. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe. This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses. WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car. Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed. WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments t		and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over
network would not be safe. This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses. WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car. Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed. WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.	18	Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site is not for sale and not available for development. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road
does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses. WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car. Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed. WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.		
WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses. WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car. Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed. WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.	structure fermiand fatally	does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure
areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses. WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car. Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed. WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.	RAPs 1 Roads	
the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car. Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed. WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.	isine tuorit	areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more
environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed. WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.	entritive pad and nausen	the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Learnington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by
and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.	ominy bas	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
Summary	Sanson	and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over
	P-P-14	Summary

SHEET 13 of 14

Site #	Comments
Oile #	Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The
	site is not for sale and not available for development. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
20	This site is situated adjacent to historic landfills, which though closed may still have the potential to release greenhouse gases are unsuitable for any form of permanent habitation and occupation.
	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
	Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.
	The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites). In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.
	The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick

SHEET 14 04 14

Site #	Comments
	and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. The site is not for sale and not available for development. This site is an ex landfill site and is not suitable for development and / or occupation. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated coexistence with the local community.