LOCALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict



Gypsy and Traveller Site Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	MRS		
First Name	VALERIE		
Last Name	VALERIE BOWDEH.		
Job Title (where relevant)	and include adolytic world are	principal de la composition della composition de	
Organisation (where relevant)		THE REAL PROOF SHEW WAS AND THE	
Address Line 1			
Address Line 2			
Address Line 3			
Address Line 4			
Postcode			
Telephone number			
Email address			
Would you like to be made awa	are of future consultations on Gypsy Trav	reller sites? Yes No	
About You: Gender			
Ethnic Origin			
Age			

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and

Sheet

sustainability. These are the criteria:

	Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
happed in	Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
-	Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
1024	Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
-	Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
-	Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
-	Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
-	Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
-	Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
-	Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.
lease give	your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.
	are you responding to? - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)
(e.g. GT01	
(e.g. GT01 What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) - nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
(e.g. GT01 What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)
(e.g. GT01 What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) - nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
(e.g. GT01 What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) - nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
(e.g. GT01 What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) - nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
(e.g. GT01 What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) - nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
(e.g. GT01) What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) - nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
(e.g. GT01 What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) - nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
(e.g. GT01 What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) - nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
(e.g. GT01) What is the	- Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) e nature of your representation? Support Object Comment out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Site #	Comments Access and
5	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
baali	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
	Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.
	Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.
	The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites). In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.
	The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community
inn). 6 Snex). eint	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Learnington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Learnington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and an not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Learnington over time.
	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development.
6	This site is situated on historic landfills, which though closed may still have the potential release greenhouse gases and are unsuitable for any form of permanent habitation and

Site #	Comments			
	occupation.			
710 1 21 Valdi	This site is close to the Asps, which Warwick District Council decided that should remain open due to there value as a backdrop to the historic Warwick Castle Park. The Revised Development Strategy, therefore, excludes the Asps and should also exclude the adjoining sites for the same reason.			
	There have been a number of reported wild deer sightings on this land and there is a population of deer that roam freely across the Castle grounds and onto this site and beyond.			
	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.			
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.			
	Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.			
	Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.			
	The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites). In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.			
	The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.			
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.			
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.			
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.			
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.			
STE G	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle			

Site #		Comments			
5100	2 1030s	Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.			
		Summary The standard and afformation of the standard affor			
		Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. This site is an ex landfill site and is not			
	Dat	suitable for development and / or occupation.			
9		This site is situated on historic landfills, which though closed may still have the potential to release greenhouse gases and are unsuitable for any form of permanent habitation and occupation.			
		This site is close to the Asps, which Warwick District Council decided that should remain open due to there value as a backdrop to the historic Warwick Castle Park. The Revised Development Strategy, therefore, excludes the Asps and should also exclude the adjoining sites for the same reason.			
		There have been a number of reported wild deer sightings on this land and there is a population of deer that roam freely across the Castle grounds and onto this site and			
		beyond.			
		Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.			
		This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable. Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.			
		Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.			
		The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites) In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.			
		The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the			
		This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community			
		WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.			
		WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would			

Site #	Comments					
	ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully					
	integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.					
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which					
	does not appear to have been assessed.					
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.					
	Summary					
	Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. This site is an ex landfill site and is not					
	suitable for development and / or occupation.					
10 bne a	This site is situated adjacent to historic landfills, which though closed may still have the potential to release greenhouse gases are unsuitable for any form of permanent habitation and occupation.					
	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.					
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.					
	Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.					
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.					
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.					
	WDC about he was in a Company of the					
ld be ment for	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.					
bea	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.					

Site#	Comments
bal bui Vi rators	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
mgton mgton oposed sed	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. This site is an ex landfill site and is not suitable for development and / or occupation.
12	This site is within (part) and otherwise immediately adjacent to areas identified by the Environment Agency as having significant flood risk.
	This site has inadequate pedestrian crossing facilities for safe access into the village of Barford.
	Vehicular access to this site is from the A429 trunk road, which was constructed as a bypass to Barford. Even though it has a 60 mph speed limit there have been a significant number of accidents, including a fatality. The existing access into this site is entirely inadequate.
	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
	Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.
	Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.
	The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), 6 (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites) In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.
	The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community
posed ed le nd are	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.

Site #	Comments
Oile #	Continuents
beedd al ers bn	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
nella la	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
e sitt s not	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
lo ei frischi	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. There is significant risk of flooding. It is not safe to cross the A429 and access the village of Barford on foot.
15	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and
	egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe. This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local
	more valuable uses.
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
od bto	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Learnington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Learnington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Learnington over time.

ite#	Comments			
dolfw	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. The site is not for sale and not available			
	for development. The site does not offer the ability to access local continuity facilities.			
6	This site is the flood compensation area from the Barford bypass build and contains a permanent central pond and is unsuitable for any form of development.			
	This site is within (part) and otherwise immediately adjacent to areas identified by the Environment Agency as having significant flood risk.			
	This site has inadequate pedestrian crossing facilities for safe access into the village of Barford.			
	Vehicular access to this site is from the A429 trunk road, which was constructed as a bypass to Barford. Even though it has a 60 mph speed limit there have been a significant number of accidents, including a fatality. The existing access into this site is entirely inadequate.			
	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.			
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure an is unsustainable.			
	Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.			
	Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and its likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period. Development would also reduce the availability for long standing residents to obtain places for their children.			
	The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.			
	The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site.			
	Including The Asias and Stass 5 of 10 as General at the control of			
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be Kenilworth, warming the lead would enable better integration in to the local			
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would major new housing developments in Kenilworth, warvick and Leamington.			

Site#	Comments				
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.				
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.				
s Insant	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. Barford St. Peter's School does not have the capacity to accommodate the additional children that would need a school place. The site is not for sale and not available for development. There is significant risk of flooding. It is not safe to cross the A429 and access the village of Barford on foot.				
17	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.				
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.				
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.				
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.				
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.				
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.				
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are				
	not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.				
	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site is not for sale and not available for development. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.				
8	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and				

Site#	Comments
Hallino	egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
e di la di l	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure and is unsustainable.
	This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
	WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses.
	WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car.
	Ecology and Environment – the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed.
	WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time.
	Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site is not for sale and not available for development. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.
20	This site is situated adjacent to historic landfills, which though closed may still have the potential to release greenhouse gases are unsuitable for any form of permanent habitation and occupation.
	Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilised road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highways network would not be safe.
	This site is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities (schools, doctors' surgeries etc) on foot or on bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes, or by bus. The only means of accessibility is by car, which would place further pressure on the local highway network infrastructure are is unsustainable.
	Development of this site would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment, rendering the isolated sites (e.g. site 12) totally unviable.
	The WDC have disregarded the Rural Area Policies, especially RAPs 1 (New Housing), (New Employment), 10 (Safeguarding Rural Roads) and 15 (Camping and caravan Sites In all respects the sites fail to meet the policy criteria to allow any form of development.

community.

Site# Comments The development of this site could not take place without a material adverse effect on the landscape and could not be integrated without harming the visual amenity of the site. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community. WDC should have identified 'brownfield' sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers. These sites would be more suitable and sustainable, and would enable better integration in to the local community. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for more valuable uses. WDC should be requiring Gypsy and Traveller sites are delivered within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, a doctor's surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and by car. Ecology and Environment - the site has ecological value and environmental issues which does not appear to have been assessed. WDC should consider allocating an area of land to the south of Warwick and Leamington including The Asps and Sites 5, 6, 9, 10 as Greenbelt to provide a 'buffer' to the proposed developments to the south of Warwick and Leamington and/or to extend the proposed Bishops Tachbrook Country Park as far as the Banbury Road near to Warwick Castle Park. This would ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity and are not 'swallowed up' by Warwick and Leamington over time. Summary Car access into and out of this site is onto A roads and is not safe. The site does not offer the ability to access local community facilities. Development of this site would result in losing important and valuable farmland. The site is not for sale and not available for development. This site is an ex landfill site and is not suitable for development and / or occupation. This site does not allow for peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

eet	lof		romy out to 2 etal.	
o you hav	e any other	r suggestions for land w n mind the criteria for sit	rithin this district that the identification? If so	you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and please give the location and the land owner's
etails belo	w:			
		poptyrenous you sawally		
		mentil - publicati		
		manusi - attisk		

Rep. Ref.

For Official Use Only

Ref: