

LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict

| |
|--------------|
| WDC PLANNING |
| Ref |
| Officer |
| 23 JUL 2013 |
| SCANNED |
| CC CR PD MA |
| PRE GEN |



| |
|-------------------|
| Official Use Only |
| Ref. 9753 |
| Rep. Ref. |

Gypsy and Traveller Site Options Response Form 2013

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

| | 1. Personal Details | 2. Agent's Details (if applicable) |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Title | MRS. | |
| First Name | DANAË | |
| Last Name | SHERIDAN | |
| Job Title (where relevant) | | |
| Organisation (where relevant) | | |
| Address Line 1 | | |
| Address Line 2 | | |
| Address Line 3 | | |
| Address Line 4 | | |
| Postcode | | |
| Telephone number | | |
| Email address | | |
| Would you like to be made aware of f | | |
| About You: Gender | | |
| Ethnic Origin | | |
| Age | | |
| Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger? | Consultation at Barford. | |

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

GT SITE 12

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Site 12 is adjacent to significant flooding and I have seen water voles on this site which are legally protected.

There is no adequate access to the village except by traversing the bypass (60 mph speed limit), a heavily utilised highway, and there have already been accidents and a fatality of this stretch of road. Access and egress to and from Site 12 would not be safe. The only access would be by car placing further pressure on the village, particularly during the morning and evening rush-hour and the two pick-up times at the school.

The site does not offer access to the village on foot or by bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes or by bus. Also the infrastructure of the village will not support the influx of Gypsies and Travellers i.e. in terms of the negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School (Barford is a Secondary Service Villager), no doctors' surgeries and a small village shop and sub-post office.

Development of Site 12 would lead to an unacceptable loss of valuable farmland and rural employment, plus a dramatic adverse effect on the landscape, harming the visual amenity of the Site.

This is not a location which would allow peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

WDC should deliver the Gypsy & Traveller Sites within the proposed major new housing development in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. Gypsies & Travellers are saying they want permanent homes – this is obviously where the sites should be placed where they can be fully integrated into the local community and facilities such as schools, doctors' surgeries and shops are readily accessible on foot, by bike, by bus and by car. This has been carried out satisfactorily at Milton Keynes.

WDC should have identified brownfield sites with the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers as these sites would be more suitable and sustainable. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for other uses. WDC should also revisit its Greenbelt Policy and release land to the north of Warwick and Leamington which would reduce the pressure of development in the south of the District.

Guidance on Making Representations

- Please use this response form as it will help the Council to keep accurate and consistent records of all the comments on the Plan, alternatively complete online at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan
- If you wish to make comments on more than one site, please use a separate copy of Part B of this form for each
- You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below
- It is important that you include your name and address as anonymous forms cannot be accepted. If your address details change, please inform us in writing
- All forms should be received by **5.15pm on Monday 29 July 2013**
- Copies of all the objections and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new plan for Gypsy and Traveller sites and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998
- To return this form, please drop off at one of the locations below, or post to: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH** or email: newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk

Where to see copies of the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

Copies are available for inspection on the Council's web site at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan and at the following locations:

| Location | Opening Times | |
|--|---|--|
| Warwick District Council Offices Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa | Mon - Thurs Fri | 8.45am - 5.15pm 8.45am - 4.45pm |
| Leamington Town Hall Parade, Royal Leamington Spa | Mon - Thurs Fri | 8.45am - 5.15pm 8.45am - 4.45pm |
| Warwickshire Direct Whitnash Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash | Mon - Tues Wed Thurs Fri Sat | 10.30am - 5.00pm 1.30pm - 5.00pm Closed 10.30am - 4.00pm 10.30am - 1.30pm |
| Leamington Spa Library The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa | Mon - Weds Thurs Fri Sat Sun | 9.30am - 6.00pm 10.00am - 7.00pm 9.30am - 6.00pm 9.30am - 4.30pm 12.00pm - 4.00pm |
| Warwickshire Direct Warwick Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick | Mon - Thurs Fri Sat | 8.00am - 5.30pm 8.00am - 5.00pm 9.00am - 4.00pm |
| Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth | Mon - Tues Wed Thurs - Fri Sat | 9.00am - 5.30pm 10.30am - 5.30pm 9.00am - 5.30pm 9.00am - 1.00pm |
| Warwickshire Direct Lillington Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa | Mon Tues and Fri Weds Thurs Sat | 9.30am - 12.30pm & 1.30pm - 6.00pm 9.30am - 12.30pm & 1.30pm - 5.30pm Closed 9.30am - 12.30pm & 1.30pm - 7.00pm 9.30am - 12.30pm |
| Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa | Mon - Fri | 9.00am - 5.00pm |
| Finham Community Library Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP | Mon Tues, Thurs and Fri Sat | 1.00pm - 7.00pm 9.00am - 7.00pm 9.00am - 4.00pm |

Where possible, information can be made available in other formats, including large print, CD and other languages if required. To obtain one of these alternatives, please contact 01926 410410.

LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict

WDC PLANNING
Ref
Officer
23 JUL 2013
SCANNED
CC CR PD MA
N DIS



For Official Use Only
Ref. 9753
Rep. Ref.

Gypsy and Traveller Site Options Response Form 2013

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

| | 1. Personal Details | 2. Agent's Details (if applicable) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Title | MRS. | |
| First Name | DANAË | |
| Last Name | SHERIDAN | |
| Job Title (where relevant) | | |
| Organisation (where relevant) | | |
| Address Line 1 | | |
| Address Line 2 | | |
| Address Line 3 | | |
| Address Line 4 | | |
| Postcode | | |
| Telephone number | | |
| Email address | | |
| Would you like to be made aware of f | | |
| About You: Gender | | |
| Ethnic Origin | | |
| Age | | |

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

SITE 16

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Site 16 is actually the flood compensation area from the Barford bypass and contains a permanent central pond and is significantly unsuitable for any form of development. I have seen water voles on this site which are legally protected.

There is no adequate access to the village except by traversing the bypass (60 mph speed limit), a heavily utilised highway, and there have already been accidents and a fatality of this stretch of road. Access and egress to and from Site 12 would not be safe. The only access would be by car placing further pressure on the village, particularly during the morning and evening rush-hour and the two pick-up times at the school.

The site does not offer access to the village on foot or by bike via pedestrian footpaths or cycle routes or by bus. Also the infrastructure of the village will not support the influx of Gypsies and Travellers i.e. in terms of the negative impact on the capacity of Barford St. Peter's School (Barford is a Secondary Service Villager), no doctors' surgeries and a small village shop and sub-post office.

Development of Site 16 would lead to an unacceptable loss of valuable farmland and rural employment, plus a dramatic adverse effect on the landscape, harming the visual amenity of the Site.

This is not a location which would allow peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

WDC should deliver the Gypsy & Traveller Sites within the proposed major new housing development in Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington. Gypsies & Travellers are saying they want permanent homes – this is obviously where the sites should be placed where they can be fully integrated into the local community and facilities such as schools, doctors' surgeries and shops are readily accessible on foot, by bike, by bus and by car. This has been carried out satisfactorily at Milton Keynes.

WDC should have identified brownfield sites with the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick and Leamington for Gypsies and Travellers as these sites would be more suitable and sustainable. Despite such sites existing, they are all being proposed for redevelopment for other uses. WDC should also revisit its Greenbelt Policy and release land to the north of Warwick and Leamington which would reduce the pressure of development in the south of the District.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.