

Gypsy and Traveller Site Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only

Ref: 9804

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	TONY	
Last Name	REECE	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations?		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?		

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

SITE 20 - SHERBOURNE

What is the nature of your representation?

☐ Support

☒ Object

☐ Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

SEE ENCLOSED LETTER TO DEVELOPMENT POLICY
MANAGER DATED 25 JULY 2013.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

16. 16 VICARAGE LANE
SHERBOURNE
WARWICK CV35 8AB

1.
25 July 2013.

Development Policy Manager
Development Services
Warwick District Council
Millerton Hill
Leamington Spa.

Dear Sir. GYPSY & TRAVELLER SITE OPTION 20
I have been to one or two forums regarding the above &
I am principally concerned with site 20 in Sherbourne
itself & also the Barford sites, one of which is only 1/4
mile from Sherbourne Church.

First of all it needs to be said that we already have a Gypsy
& Traveller site for 4 pitches which has planning
permission but as yet not developed & is the site of an
international crime scene a few years ago. This is at
595238 in Fulbrook which has been part of Sherbourne
since the Middle Ages but which is now somehow
administered by Stratford District. It would seem wanton
of WDC to impose a second site on our doorstep.

With regard to site 20 I share the welter of public feeling
against sites being planted against existing settlements.
They appear to have little or no respect for local people
& this would be very unsettling for us. The police crime
rating for Sherbourne is 'Extremely high' & we want
to keep it that way. We do not want to keep having to look
over our shoulder & have the worry of having to keep
everything under lock & key. We would be constantly
faced with the policing & reporting of incidents, a role
we do not want.

In the 1930's the Council bought a substantial piece of
land on that eastern/northern boundary in the area of
site 20. This site in the future could overflow into the
Council land & could become a 'city'.

The proposal is in the Green Belt & in a Designated Special Landscape Area. & would break national policy on the grounds of built encroachment. It would set a precedent for further development west of the new A46 & also along the line of the A46. This road carries valuable tourism between Stratford, Warwick & Kenilworth & the visible development of Site 20 would be in conflict with the Councils Tourism policy. Also because of its enormous boundary with the M40 there could be unexpected threats to Motorway Safety. The relentless M40 noise would not be conducive to people living in caravans. I am also concerned that the Council agenda may lead to building homes & facilities in brickwork extending the impact further into Green Belt.

There would also be no work for those people around Sherbourne. There are no opportunities in agriculture as there was historically. i.e. Large machines now do all the work.

You have somehow been given the responsibility to settle the Gypsy & Travellers, who choose that life, into static caravans, having roamed this country for hundreds of years. If planning permission is granted for Site 20 you will also have been responsible for potentially pushing up our local crime rate, blighting & devaluing our property (considered to be by as much as 50%). Permanently altering our quality of life for the worst & trashing the protection & integrity of the Green Belt.

This would imply that the stable Tax paying residents of Sherbourne would have been given secondary consideration.

The transition of the Gypsy & Traveller community into the urban framework where all facilities are to hand is best accomplished in the Local Plan housing strategy where immigrants & travellers would be taking up residence at the same time & integration & policing would be better served. Whereas the site at Sherbourne, out on a limb would probably remain

more insular which is against the spirit of integration into the community.

Please take the above comments into consideration,

Yours. *Tony Reece*
TONY REECE,

Office of Investigation

State of New York

County of Albany

People vs. The State of New York

James J. (Clerk)

John R. (Clerk)

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only

Ref.	Rep. Ref.
------	-----------

Rep. Ref.