

# LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



## Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013


For Official Use Only

Ref: 9646.  
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)



### Part A - Personal Details

|   | 1. Personal Details  | 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)                                  |
|---|--|---|
| Title   | Dr   |   |
| First Name  | E.R.   |   |
| Last Name   | AUSTIN   |   |
| Job Title (where relevant)  |  |   |
| Organisation (where relevant)   |  |   |
| Address Line 1  |  |   |
| Address Line 2  |  |   |
| Address Line 3  |  |   |
| Address Line 4  |  |   |
| Postcode  |  |   |
| Telephone number  |  |   |
| Email address   |  |   |
| Would you like to be made aware of  |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| About You: Gender   |  |   |
| Ethnic Origin   |  |   |
| Age   | <input type="checkbox"/> Under 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 16 - 24 <input type="checkbox"/> 25 - 34 <input type="checkbox"/> 35 - 44<br><input type="checkbox"/> 45 - 54 <input type="checkbox"/> 55 - 64 <input type="checkbox"/> 65+ |   |
| Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger? |  |   |

IF WDC PROMISE TO MEET RESIDENTS REQUIREMENTS

## Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

1. I attended the Forum at Baginbun, 20.6.13. It was helpful up to a point. I have since looked at the Strategy literature available at the Forum. Many of the claims made are not substantiated. e.g.: Para. 4.1.4 (page 10) uses a Cambridge organisation as being the basis for estimating housing requirements, but no explanation is given as to how the housing requirement has been calculated. It seems to be based on the assumption that for some unexplained reasons, growth in the West Midlands will be greater than elsewhere.

It also suggests that growth can only occur if we experience yet more immigration, because of our ageing population. Immigration is the very last thing we need. (Immigrants will also grow older (won't they?), so we would need yet more immigrants to support those?! Yet we have 2.5.10<sup>6</sup>

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.



## Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

People unemployed, How many of these are immigrants? And is it a fact that the highest level of unemployment is in the age group 16 to 24? Not so much older people.

2. The reasons that residents are totally opposed to gypsy sites are:

(a) We pay no taxes, so we (residents) are supporting them.

(b) Gypsy sites become sites of poverty.

(c) They bring an increase in crime.

3. We are very dilatory in producing a local plan, so developers will be allowed to do what they want (e.g. an application in for 1000 houses in Baginbun).

4. No matter how much the public are consulted, the local and national politicians will allow unlimited development. Corruption and manipulation of the local councils is rife e.g. - Galway.