

LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict

WDC PLANNING
 Ref: []
 Office: []
 29 JUL 2013
 SCANNED
 CC CR PD MA
 PRE GEN DIS



Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only
 Ref: 518
 Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	GILLIAN	
Last Name	BARKEP	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware		No
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		35 - 44
Where did you hear about this co		?
LOCAL COUNCILLORS, BIN HANGER, FORUM MEETING.		

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

REVISED LOCAL PLAN
LEAFLET.

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

WHITNASH, GENERAL
COMMENTS

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

The revised local plan with regard to house building appears to be based not on local need but on an assumed forecast of future economic growth for which we should be prepared. The plan covers the next 15 years, but there appears to be a rush to build immediately.

The agricultural land south of Leamington is exactly the same as the land to the north of the town. However, its description and therefore its degree of protection differs. Land to the north is 'greenbelt' (protection) land to the south is 'greenfield' (no protection). The proposed concentration of development around Whitnash is because of this criteria, as greenfield sites are preferable to greenbelt. Although ribbon development may be seen as making use of existing infrastructure, the cumulative effect of large developments is road congestion and more CO2 emissions.

If house building has been such a priority then perhaps the Ford site would have filled the criteria of being close to the town centre. However, the levy for road enhancements may not have been commercially viable for a construction company, whereas a ^{food} ~~supermarket~~ chain would have had the money. House building has been forced into the urban areas by not utilising sites like this.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 2 of 2

Which part of the document are you responding to?	REVISED LOCAL PLAN LEAFLET.
Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)	
Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites – District Wide)	AREAS EAST + WEST OF WHITNASH
What is the nature of your representation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Object
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).	
<p><u>Fieldgate Lane proposed development w/13/0464.</u> In the sustainability assessment this pocket of land is described as "having" some historic value"...and "potential for a long term negative effect on heritage" after identification of its ridge and furrow field markings.</p> <p><u>Grove Farm fields + Woodside Farm fields</u> Both in the vicinity of Mallory Court historic park and garden (Grade II) and are in an area that already has traffic capacity issues.</p> <p><u>East of Whitnash</u> 500 houses are proposed for this site and the further loss of agricultural land. The southern boundary of this proposed site appears to have no exit route unless it breaks through into Fieldgate Lane. Its northern area will complete the join of Whitnash and Sydenham. Sandwiched in the middle is the Whitnash Brook Valley Nature Reserve that was conceived by people in Whitnash. Despite putting a buffer zone into the new plans this area was never envisaged as an enhancement to a house sale.</p> <p><u>West of Whitnash</u> Over 3000 houses are proposed for this area which will practically join Whitnash to Warwick, and in doing so this locality will lose its distinctiveness. Traffic heading north will bottleneck at Gallows Hill, Ford Island, and other river crossings.</p> <p>Dispersed developments would be preferable and conceived over a period of time rather than a mad quota rush.</p> <p>The local plan has to have a development strategy but bringing empty houses into use and using some imaginative concepts would be preferable rather than making this historic area look like everywhere else.</p>	