

WDC PLANNING
 Ref
 Officer
 17 JUL 2013
 SCANNED
 CC CR PD MA
 PRE GEN DIS



For Official Use Only
 Ref: 4695.
 Rep. Ref.

Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	MARJORIE	
Last Name	FORBES	
Job Title (where relevant)	—	
Organisation (where relevant)	—	
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of the plan?		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this plan?		

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

RDS 3

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

4.3.11 and 5.1.15

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

MAP 3

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

The ancient town of Warwick cannot cope with the extra traffic if the number of homes proposed are built in the Banbury Road/Gallows Hill area.

The town can hardly cope with the amount of traffic we have now.

People living in the close environs of Warwick town like to enjoy their town by walking or taking recreational exercise in the town and parks. The air quality is known to be bad here - so further traffic would make it dangerous.

Not enough emphasis has been put on the fact that residents walk and run through the town, Banbury Road and Myton Road. Extra traffic will make those areas unpleasant.

There is only one bridge into town - a bridge which has a lovely view of the Castle and the Avon. The bridge only takes up and down traffic in single lanes. It is ridiculous to broaden the approach to the bridge with a dual carriage way. There is always congestion from town to the Banbury Road at school and business commuting hours. The whole system clogs up if traffic has been diverted from the motorway in the case of an accident. And if the security van stops outside one of the banks in Jury Street - it causes tailbacks yet again into the Banbury Road.

There is enough building in the Warwick area at the moment - Chase Meadow and Trident Fields. There are shops, offices and industrial units empty for years in Warwick town and Leamington Town. These should be addressed first before making more development.

But mainly - Warwick is a unique town - and that is why visitors come every year to enjoy the Market, castle, Lord Leicester and look at the old buildings. But traffic and parking are destroying the town - and trying to bring another tranche of housing/industrial estates into the equation will just be the death of it.

If the government want councils to build more houses - then ask the developers to use a little more ingenuity in developing the empty sites in Warwickshire towns instead of taking the easy option of starting with a fresh green field.

The air quality in Warwick is a health issue and more homes in this area needing to go through the town are going to have an impact

Not enough emphasis has been put on the needs of local people who are already having enough difficulty living in their town with the poor air quality and lack of parking.

Why have the town planners allowed so many supermarkets into our area? These brownfield sites could have been used for housing

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.