

# LOCAL PLAN

helpingshapethedistrict

WDC PLANNING  
 Ref  
 Officer  
 24 11 2013  
 SCANNED  
 CC CR PD MA  
 GEN DIS



For Official Use Only  
 Ref. 9692.  
 Rep. Ref.

## Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

**If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.**

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

### Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	CHRISTOS	
Last Name	CHRISTOU	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations?		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?	LOCAL MEETING	

## Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

SITE 16 is actually a flood compensation area from the Barford Bypass and is unsuitable for any form of development. water voles inhabit this area. Vehicle access to this site is from A429 which is already over-used and there has already been a fatality on this road along with several accidents.

Development of this land would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment.

Development of this site would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a Secondary Service Village.

WDC have disregarded their own Rural Area Policies, especially RAPS 1, 6 and 10 and 15. In all respects the site fails to meet the policy criteria.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

Site 12 is immediately adjacent to areas identified by the Environment Agency as having significant flood risk. Watercotes inhabit this area. Vehicular access to this site is from the A429 which is already over-stretched.

Development of this land would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment.

Development would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Bigford St Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a Secondary Service Village.

WDC have disregarded their own Rural Area Policies, especially RAPS 4, 6, 10 and 15. In all respects the site fails to meet the policy criteria.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary)

This site is an historic landfill which has potential to release greenhouse gases and is -'. unsuitable for habitation, with its backdrop being Warrick Castle Park. The revised development strategy should also exclude this site.

Wild deer have been seen on this land and should be allowed to continue to roam free.

Access to this site is via a heavily utilised road network and would also lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment.

Development would also put an excessive burden on Barford St Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'secondary Service Village'.

WDC have disregarded their own Rural Area Policies especially RAPS 1, 6, 10 and 15. In all respects this site fails to meet the policy criteria.

Development of this site would also have a material adverse effect on the landscape.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 4 of 10

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

SITE 9

What is the nature of your representation?

 Support Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection. (use a separate sheet if necessary)

This site is on historic landfill which has potential to release greenhouse gases and is ∴ unsuitable for habitation. with its backdrop being Warrich Castle Park the revised development strategy should also exclude this site.

Wild deer have been seen on this land and should be allowed to continue to roam free.

Access to this site is via a heavily utilized road network and would also lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment.

Development would also put an excessive burden on Bafford St Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village'.

WDC have disregarded their own Rural Area Policies especially RAPS 1, 6, 10 and 15. In all respects this site fails to meet the policy criteria.

Development of this site would also have a material adverse effect on the landscape.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

 Support Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary)

This site is adjacent to an historic landfill site which has the potential to release greenhouse gases and makes it unsuitable for any form of habitation.

Vehicle access is already heavily utilized.

Development would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'secondary service village'.

WDC should use this site as part of a 'buffer' to the proposed development to the south of Wank & Leamington to provide a 'buffer' and ensure the villages in the south of the District retain their identity.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

This site is adjacent to an historic landfill site which has the potential to release greenhouse gases and makes it unsuitable for any form of habitation.

vehicular access is already heavily utilized and development would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment.

WDC have disregarded their own Rural Area Policies, especially RAPS 1, 6, 10 and 15. In all respects this site fails to meet the policy criteria.

Development would have a material adverse effect on the landscape.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 7 of 10

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilized road network and development would lead to an unacceptable loss of farmland and rural employment.

Development would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village'.

WDC have disregarded their own Rural Area Policies, especially RAPS 1, 6, 10 and 15. In all respects the site fails to meet the policy criteria.

The development of this site would have a material adverse effect on the landscape and would not allow a peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.

This site could provide a 'buffer' to the proposed development to the south of Wavesh & Leamington. This would ensure that the villages in the south of the district retain their identity.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.



# Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

This is one of only 3 sites which would meet all of the criteria

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites – District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

 Support Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

This is one of only 3 sites which would meet all of the criteria.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites – District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

 Support Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

This is one of only 3 sites which would meet all of the criteria.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict

WDC PLANNING
Ref
Officer
24 JUL 2013
SCANNED
CC CR PD MA
PRE GEN DIS



## Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only
Ref: 9692.
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

**If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.**

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

### Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	CHRISTOS	
Last Name	CHRISTOU	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of future developments?		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?	LOCAL MEETING	

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Development would have a material negative impact on the capacity of Barford St Peter's School, especially given the village's status as a 'Secondary Service Village' and it's likely requirement to provide 70-90 new dwellings during the Plan period.

This site would not allow peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community.

Vehicular access to this site is from an already heavily utilized road network. Access and egress to and from this site to the highway network would not be safe. Yet access to the site via path or cycle route or bus to schools, doctors surgeries etc is limited and would rely on the car. Loss of farmland.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to? (e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)	<input type="text" value="SITE 6"/>		
What is the nature of your representation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Support	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Object	<input type="checkbox"/> Comment
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.			
<p>Vehicular access is from an already heavily utilised road network, and is not sustainable in terms of multimodal accessibility. Insufficient paths or bike routes which puts more strain on the road network.</p>			

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(eg. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Access is from an already heavily utilized road network, and is not sustainable in terms of multi modal accessibility.  
Insufficient paths and bike routes puts more strain on the road network.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 4 of 11

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

SITE 16

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

This is the site of the flood compensation area from the Barford bypass build and contains a permanent central pond and is unsuitable for any form of development. Also identified by the Environment Agency as having significant flood risk.

Residents have reported sightings of water voles which are a legally protected species.

Insufficient pedestrian crossing for safe access to the village over the busy A429 trunk road with inadequate access

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.



# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 5 of 11

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?  
(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive) SITE 12

What is the nature of your representation?  Support  Object  Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

This site is immediately adjacent to areas identified by the Environment Agency as having significant flood risk. water voles have been sited and are a legally protected species.

There is inadequate pedestrian crossing facilities to allow safe crossing over the A429 trunk road. This site is not multi-modal in terms of accessibility, hereby putting more strain on the road network.

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(eg. G101 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

This site is adjacent to historic landfills which may release greenhouse gases dangerous to a permanent site.

Road access is already heavily utilized and will put greater pressure on the network. Poor pedestrian access and no bike lanes.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 7 of 11

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

SITE 20

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

This site is adjacent to an historic landfill which could be potentially harmful to a permanent site. Access to this site is already stretched by the over utilized road. Insufficient paths and bike lanes.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

SITE 15

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Over utilised road network with poor pedestrian access or bike routes to this site.

Remains a possible site

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(eg. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Over utilised road network with poor pedestrian access or bike routes to this site.

Remains a possible site

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 10 of 11

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. G101 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

SITE 18

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Over utilized road network with poor pedestrian access or bike routes to this site  
Remains a possible site.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 11 of 11

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

Only 3 sites of those listed are potentially suitable ie sites 15, 17 and 18. Even these have transport/access issues.

By definition the remaining sites are not deliverable.

WDC should have identified brown field sites within the existing urban areas of Kenilworth, Warwick & Leamington for Gypsies & Travellers rather than concentrating the density of the sites to the south of the area. Perhaps the sites could be integrated within the proposed major new housing developments in Kenilworth, Warwick & Leamington. This would ensure that the sites could be properly designed in a sustainable fashion and be fully integrated into a local community which will provide facilities such as a school, doctors surgery and shops which are accessible on foot, on bike, by bus and car.

WDC should revisit its Greenbelt Policy and release sites to the north of Warwick and Leamington which would reduce the pressure to allocate land for all forms of development during the new local plan period to the south of the District.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.