



Gypsy and Traveller Site Options Response Form 2013


For Official Use Only
Ref: 9820
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details



	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	COLIN	
Last Name	HABERFIELD	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations?		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
- Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
- Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT01 - Land adj. to the Cobalt Centre, Siskin Drive)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

TOTALLY OBJECT TO THE PLAN IN ENTIRETY
NO TAXPAYER MANDATE FOR PLAN
CONSULTATION SHOULD BE "IF" NOT "WHERE"
SEE ENCLOSED LETTER.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation


Sheet of

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

REPEAT OBJECTION:-

TOTALLY OBJECT TO THE PLAN IN ENTIRETY

THE PLAN SHOULD ~~BE~~ WITHDRAWN



Guidance on Making Representations

- Please use this response form as it will help the Council to keep accurate and consistent records of all the comments on the Plan, alternatively complete online at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan
- If you wish to make comments on more than one site, please use a separate copy of Part B of this form for each
- You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below
- It is important that you include your name and address as anonymous forms cannot be accepted. If your address details change, please inform us in writing
- All forms should be received by **5.15pm on Monday 29 July 2013**
- Copies of all the objections and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new plan for Gypsy and Traveller sites and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998
- To return this form, please drop off at one of the locations below, or post to: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH** or email: newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk

Where to see copies of the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

Copies are available for inspection on the Council's web site at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan and at the following locations:

Location	Opening Times
Warwick District Council Offices Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon – Thurs 8.45am – 5.15pm Fri 8.45am – 4.45pm
Leamington Town Hall Parade, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon – Thurs 8.45am – 5.15pm Fri 8.45am – 4.45pm
Warwickshire Direct Whitnash Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash	Mon – Tues 10.30am – 5.00pm Wed 1.30pm – 5.00pm Thurs Closed Fri 10.30am – 4.00pm Sat 10.30am – 1.30pm
Leamington Spa Library The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon – Weds 9.30am – 6.00pm Thurs 10.00am – 7.00pm Fri 9.30am – 6.00pm Sat 9.30am – 4.30pm Sun 12.00pm – 4.00pm
Warwickshire Direct Warwick Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick	Mon – Thurs 8.00am – 5.30pm Fri 8.00am – 5.00pm Sat 9.00am – 4.00pm
Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth	Mon – Tues 9.00am – 5.30pm Wed 10.30am – 5.30pm Thurs – Fri 9.00am – 5.30pm Sat 9.00am – 1.00pm
Warwickshire Direct Lillington Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon 9.30am – 12.30pm & 1.30pm – 6.00pm Tues and Fri 9.30am – 12.30pm & 1.30pm – 5.30pm Weds Closed Thurs 9.30am – 12.30pm & 1.30pm – 7.00pm Sat 9.30am – 12.30pm
Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon – Fri 9.00am – 5.00pm
Finham Community Library Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP	Mon 1.00pm – 7.00pm Tues, Thurs and Fri 9.00am – 7.00pm Sat 9.00am – 4.00pm

Where possible, information can be made available in other formats, including large print, CD and other languages if required. To obtain one of these alternatives, please contact 01926 410410.



To the Consultation Officers

I totally object to the Councils and Planning Departments so called ~~plan~~ 2013 plan for Gypsies and Travellers **in its entirety**.

There are enough sites for true travellers in Warwickshire currently, as they the Council themselves identified on their web site. "4 council sites and many private sites "

Travellers- travel, they do not need permanent locations – travellers that need permanent locations are not travellers i.e. old people and parents with children. In this country people that need permanent residence have houses and most pay for them themselves.

This 2013 plan for so called Travellers is a Political and Planning Civil Servants conspiracy between themselves and the law breakers and against tax paying communities

DO ANY THING TO STOP TRAVELLERS RETURNING TO SITE 13

The Council has **NO** taxpayers mandate to reward law breakers who have repeatedly set up illegal permanent encampments in order to create communities in the best green field locations in Warwickshire.

The Council and Planning Dept are either the most devious set of civil servants in history or so totally naive and with the fairies as to consider that this community blighting plan is fair and acceptable to any taxpayer or community.

Planning Dept is funded by the tax payers – they do not expect the Planning Dept to knife their communities in the back. Any community with travellers imposed upon it, will be subject to, property price reduction, increase law breaking and a total permanent sense of unease. History proves that law abiding communities are in for trouble when travellers are about. The romantic idea of lovely basket making travellers is just not borne out in reality. Crime waves mysteriously occur wherever they stop. Example being Kenilworth, at horse fair time.

This plan gives the message to all illegal land developers throughout Europe "come to Warwickshire "the Planning Dept and Council will help you break all green belt land laws and provide you with facilities beyond your wildest dreams.

/

01926827773
CV8 1AG
Warks
Kenilworth
61 Amherst Road

To the Consultation Officers

I totally object to the Councils and Planning Departments so called plan 2013 plan for
(G) sites and Travellers in its entirety.

There are enough sites for true travellers in Warwickshire currently, as they the Council
themselves identified on their web site "4 council sites and many private sites"

Travellers-travel they do not need permanent locations - travellers that need permanent
locations are not travellers i.e. old people and parents with children. In this country
people that need permanent residence have houses and most pay for them themselves.

This 2013 plan for so called Travellers is a Political and Planning Civil Servants
conspiracy between themselves and the law breakers and against tax paying communities

DO ANY THING TO STOP TRAVELLERS RETURNING TO SITE 13

The Council has NO taxpayers mandate to reward law breakers who have repeatedly set
up illegal permanent encampments in order to create communities in the best green field
locations in Warwickshire.

The Council and Planning Dept are either the most devious set of civil servants in history
or so totally naive and with the fairness to consider that this community blighting plan is
fair and acceptable to any taxpayer or community.

Planning Dept is funded by the tax payers - they do not expect the Planning Dept to knife
their communities in the back. Any community with travellers imposed upon it will be
subject to property price reduction, increase law breaking and a total permanent sense of
unease. History proves that law abiding communities are in for trouble when travellers
are about. The romantic idea of lovely peaceful making travellers is just not borne out in
reality. Crime waves mysteriously occur wherever they stop. Example being Kenilworth
at horse fair time.

This plan gives the message to all illegal land developers throughout Europe "come to
Warwickshire" the Planning Dept and Council will help you break all green belt land
laws and provide you with facilities beyond your wildest dreams.

Further points equally critical of the Plan and the people that created it :

Hire consultants – Why? The Planning Dept is supposed to be highly educated and should not be paid to coordinate consults. They are paid to do the job themselves. If the Planning Office were determined to do an analysis, they should have used the 2007 guidelines for analyzing traveller's requirement. Why did they not? There does not appear to be any shortage of staff or ability.

Results conclusion -The need for super sites for old people and families with children. Incorrect old people and children need houses and should therefore pay for them. Groups that can buy land on the open market can afford to buy houses.

The site selection requirements are those that any home builder would die for. For the public, building on green belt land is not possible. Building on small plots in villages between houses is stopped without logic other than – “not on green belt land “ or development unsuitable.

Why is it all of a sudden being considered for law breakers at the expenses of law abiders?

The policy of just proposing any site, irrespective of green belt, farm land without the landowner's permission, flood plain, etc etc appears to be totally hurried and very unprofessional, some would say illegal, some would say the actions of dictatorship others that of a communist state.

As previously written the plan appears to be in support of the law breakers, presumable the Planning Dept thought “why not bend, if not break a few laws ourselves, the end justifies the means “

What happened to the rest of Warwickshire was a pre conceived plan to attack Barford from all sides. .

The consultation should be “**IF** we want travellers sites **not** “**where shall we put them** “ The planning officer I spoke to was delighted with her work of identifying so many sites. She was totally without understanding of the effect on landowners, taxpayers and communities. In her opinion “Her hard work in making a plan should be recognized as heroic”.

Quote “**AS LONG AS SITE 13 IS NOT CHOSEN - THEN ANY SITE WILL DO** “

The complete Planning Dept have shown institutional gross insensitivity to ordinary families who are law abiding and struggling to raise families, while meeting mortgage/ rent and council tax commitments. Now they have the addition of Council introduced **stress resulting from the fear of property price collapse.**

Further points equally critical of the plan and the people that created it :

Hire consultants - Why? The Planning Dept is supposed to be highly educated and should not be paid to coordinate consultants. They are paid to do the job themselves. If the Planning Office were determined to do an analysis, they should have used the 2007 guidelines for analysing traveller's requirements. Why did they not? There does not appear to be any shortage of staff or ability.

Results conclusion - The need for super sites for old people and families with children, in contact old people and children need houses and should therefore pay for them. Groups that can buy land on the open market can afford to buy houses.

The site selection requirements are those that any home builder would die for. For the public, building on green belt land is not possible. Building on small plots in villages between houses is stopped without logic other than - "not on green belt land" or development unsuitable.

Why is it all of a sudden being considered for law breakers at the expenses of law abiders?

The policy of just proposing any site, irrespective of green belt, farm land without the landowner's permission, flood plain, etc etc appears to be totally hurried and very unprofessional, some would say illegal, some would say the actions of dictatorship others that of a communist state.

As previously written the plan appears to be in support of the law breakers, presumably the Planning Dept thought "why not bend, if not break a few laws ourselves, the end justifies the means"

What happened to the rest of Warwickshire was a pre conceived plan to attack Barford from all sides.

The consultation should be "If we want travellers sites not "where shall we put them". The planning officer I spoke to was delighted with her work of identifying so many sites. She was totally without understanding of the effect on landowners, taxpayers and communities. In her opinion "Her hard work in making a plan should be recognized as heroic".

Quote "AS LONG AS SITE IS NOT CHOSEN - THEN ANY SITE WILL DO"

The complete Planning Dept have shown institutional gross insensitivity to ordinary families who are law abiding and struggling to raise families, while meeting mortgage rent and council tax commitments. Now they have the addition of Council introduced stress resulting from the fear of property price collapse.

Do the Planning department have any idea the upset, sleepless nights and anguish that their reckless plan has caused for so many people. If the Council had wanted to start inter community conflict then there is no better way than this Plan.

The Planning Department and the Council members coordinating element of the 2013 Plan should be fired.

The plan should be withdrawn immediately and an apology given to the community as a whole.

[REDACTED]

Colin Haberfeld