# LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



## **Revised Development Strategy** Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only 8107 Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

#### Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable
Title	MR	
First Name	GERF	
Last Name	GENFE	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made awa	re of futu	No
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
		35 - 4

MY POLITICAL CONTERTS

### Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Which part of the document are you responding to?  Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)		Au		
		50		
What is the nature of your representation?		Support	Object	
Please set out full details of your objection			, please set out what change	
could be made to resolve your objection (	Use a separate shee	et it necessary).		

For Official Use Only Ref: STENT BY R-MAN 16/07/13

WDC PLANN Ref Officer

1 7 JUL 2013

SCANNED

CC CR PD NA

16 July 2013

Dear Sirs

29 7

#### OBJECTION TO THE LOCAL PLAN FOR THE REVISED DEVELOPMENT STATEGY

This is not a plan or a Consultation Document it is a mish-mash of isolated ideas and unconnected thoughts without any joined up thinking. I am repeating what I said at the meeting held at Hill Close Gardens a year ago. Fundamentally my views have not changed.

My major objections are as follows:

- 1. There cannot be any development in this area without the building of
  - A new hospital which is fit for purpose as Warwick Hospital cannot cope with potentially 20,000 – 30,000 new patients
  - Two new secondary schools need to be built and I can only see a site for "possible Secondary School". This has other implications on both schools in the area and on traffic flows at peak times.
  - Where are these people going to work? Is it Sir Peter Rigby's new Gateway scheme that the WDC planners are so keen on?
- 2. No traffic assessment can have been done because if that is the case then the overwhelming argument cannot be in favour of bringing traffic over a Grade II listed bridge that is already crumbling as the 7.5 tonne weight limit is regularly ignored. You only have to stand at the end of Myton Road between 8.00am and 9.00am or between 5.00pm or 6.00pm and you can see the effect. Warwickshire County Council's record in traffic management schemes is not one to have confidence in considering the mess they made of the recent "improvements" to the High Street and Jury Street. Indeed if these plans come to fruition then many of the streets in Warwick and surrounding environs will be just be arteries and I think here of Smith Street, St Nicholas Church Street, Bridge End, Myton Road, High Street, Jury Street, Castle Hill, Europa Way etc. The list is almost endless.

It has been suggested that the junctions become traffic light signal controlled. If they are anything like the new scheme that has been put in place at Princess Drive and the Recycling Centre then they will be an accident waiting to happen. It also adds nothing to the traffic flow and is far too complicated.

If the Gateway scheme is to be the major employer then again it is naïve on the part of the traffic planners to say there will be little impact on traffic flows. Most people will therefore be making their way to the A46 Trunk Road and either Avon Bridge or Europa Way will become very congested indeed. It is already indicated that traffic at the morning peak will be moving at less than 5mph. This means maximum pollution for very little reward.

3. At a previous meeting at Hill Close it was indicated that traffic issues at peak times would be a real problem issue due, in part, to school starting and finishing times. It was suggested that schools could be spoken to stagger their start and finish times. This I felt was naïve in the extreme as many parents drop their children off on the way to their place of work and this will not change. Thus that will not improve.

Staly 201

This is not uplan or a Consultation Less courts to consistents of isolated ideas and unconnected thoughts without any joined-up thinking if you consiste what I said at the medium hald an Hill Close Garders and account and Francisco and Fran

My major objection, are as follows:

In There cannot be any development in the account of building of

- A new hospital which is fit for property to but its Hospital cannot cope with potentially 20,000 – 30,000 new patients
- Two new secondary schools not to be built and I can only see a site for possible Secondary School. This has relationed no measure or both schools in the area and on traffic flows at real times.
- When are those people going to worship it 'sir Peter Rigby's new Gateway scheme that the WD', allegants are so keen on:

In the more recorded that the junctions have a constained signal controlled. If they are anything for the more that has been partition, and are save and the Recycling Centre then they will be an assessment or the traffic flow and is far too contribution.

If the Control scheme is to be the major and the dealing it is naive on the part of the traffic planners to be their will be little amount on an account whose whose people will therefore be making their way to the A46 Trunk Road and earner ages andge or Europa Way will become very congested indeed. It is already indicated that the congested indeed this already indicated that the congested moving peak will be moving at less than Singh. This means maximum pollution the confidence are not

3. At a previous meeting at Hill Close it was indicated that traffic issues at peak times would be a real problem issue due, in part, to school stating and finishing times. It was suggested that schools could be spot on to stagger their start and finith time. This I felt was naive in the extreme as many parents drop their children off on the way to help place at work and this will not change. Thus that will not improve

- 4. Developers will only build houses if they can sell them. Do people want to buy them and are they affordable. However once planning permission is in place then it is very difficult to stop it. This will be like having the 'Sword of Damocles' hanging over us.
- 5. Surely 12,000 houses are excessive. I would have thought a maximum number of half that amount is what is actually required which would have a dramatic effect on the plan. Why is the vast majority of the development on Greenfield sites and not Brownfield ones. I understand that only 9% of Britain is developed but when that cuts out most of Scotland, Wales some areas of Derbyshire, Yorkshire, Northumberland, Cumberland and others then the picture looks vastly different. We are already overcrowded as an island. Why must the residents of Warwick (mainly) and Leamington be made to suffer?

I am not a 'serial' objector but a very concerned resident of what is a jewel in the crown of Warwickshire that is likely to be desecrated by this plan.



4. Developers will only build be a second of the control of the standard theory affordable. However once plan the second of the standard of the late larving the "Sword of Danes to the standard of the second of th

Surely 12.900 houses are excessive it would be a shoughted maximum number of half that amount is what is estually required which could be a constant character effect on the plan. Why is the vast majorate of the development on Grand and account not Brownfield ones. I understand that only 9% of Britain is developed but when that was not most of Scotland. Wales some areas of Derbyshire. Yorkshire Morihumberland, a antication and others then the picture looks vasily different. We are already overcrowded as as some or as the residents of Warwick (mainly) and Learning on he made to suffer?

I am not a 'serial' objector but a very concerned eddent of what is a jewel in the crown of Warwickshire that is likely to be descented to the pool.

Yours faithfull

dans.

Geoff Reynolds

## Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Which part of the document are you responding to?		ing to?	Au		
Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)  Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites – District Wide)			Au		
			What is the nature of your representation?		Support Object
	details of your objection or resolve your objection (use		support. If objecting, please set out what changes t if necessary).		

For Official Use Onl	For	Official	Use	Onl
----------------------	-----	----------	-----	-----