

LOCAL PLAN

helpingshapethedistrict

PLANNING
19 JUL 2013
CC CH TD MA
PRE GEN DIS



Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only

Ref: 6892

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	CHRISTINE	
Last Name	STANFORD	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of future developments?		<input type="checkbox"/> No
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		<input type="checkbox"/> 35 - 44
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?		

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

1. If this land is developed it would put a strain on existing sewerage and storm water pipes. When we have heavy rain water and sewerage sometimes comes up through the manhole at the corner of Fieldgate Lane/Golf Lane, more water run off because of houses on the field would overload the system and create more problems. The bottom of the field and road floods after heavy rain.

2. Local schools are unable to take anymore children, since Warwick Gates Development was built there is greater demand already on the schools that they cannot fulfil .

3. The traffic in Whitnash on the main roads has increased considerably since the Warwick Gates development, the junction at Golf Lane/ Whitnash Rd. is very busy at peak times and at other times I am sure cars and servicing vehicles from another 94 houses would make it so much worse.

I believe the junction at Heathcote Rd and Tachbrook Rd., are already at capacity, more cars will cause more traffic problems .

4. Local doctors surgeries are already at full capacity and our local hospital is struggling to serve the local population as it is and are unable to extend the building.

5. The services (water, gas , electricity) to ourselves and our neighbours at the top of the hill next to the field on Golf Lane is only just adequate, if used to serve a new development of 94 houses it would be to our and our neighbours detriment.

6. Loss of habitat for local wildlife, including the muntjack deer, bats, sparrow hawks, buzzards, Woodpeckers and Jays.

7. I am concerned that the suggested pedestrian/cycling links onto the lane could be potentially dangerous especially for children as this lane has no speed limit and unfortunately some people drive at speed on the lane. The traffic on this lane has increased over the years and is no place for children to play, especially on bikes.

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites – District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

I would like to object to this development as we have already had such a lot of housing development in Whitnash with Warwick Gates estate .
The loss of farmland in this area leading to continual urban sprawl will have a big environmental impact on local wildlife and drainage ,etc.
The local roads which are already busy since Warwick Gates was built would not be able to cope with the extra traffic that more houses would bring and cause a great deal of pollution.
Local services would not be able to cope, the schools are already full, doctors surgeries can take no more and the local hospital is struggling to serve the local population.
I understand that we need 6,000 new houses by 2030 so why is the District Council proposing 12,000 ?
We also need a more even distribution of new houses across the whole District and neighbouring local authorities.

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

I would like to object to this development as we have already had such a lot of housing development in Whitnash with Warwick Gates estate .
The loss of farmland in this area leading to continual urban sprawl will have a big environmental impact on local wildlife and drainage ,etc.
The local roads which are already busy since Warwick Gates was built would not be able to cope with the extra traffic that more houses would bring and cause a great deal of pollution.
Warwick would also have a lot of problems with the extra cars produced by this amount of housing and of course increased pollution.
Local services would not be able to cope, the schools are already full, doctors surgeries can take no more and the local hospital is struggling to serve the local population.
I understand that we need 6,000 new houses by 2030 so why is the District Council proposing 12,000 ?
We also need a more even distribution of new houses across the whole District and neighbouring local authorities.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.