LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only

Ref

Rep. Ref. 11392

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System,

visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan Officer D.M.C Part A - Personal Details 6 JAN 2014 1. Personal Details SCANNED 2. Agent's Details (if applicable) WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL CC CR PD MA MR. Title PRE GEN DIS STEPHEN HUGH First Name WILLIAMS Last Name Job Title (where relevant) Address Line 1 Address Line 2 Address Line 3 Address Line 4 Postcode Telephone number **Email address** Would you like to be made aware of fur About You: Gender Ethnic Origin Age Where did you hear about this consulta

PARISH COUNCIL AND DUTRICT COUNCIL'S WESSITE.

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

	entation					ou will need to comple	
heet	(of 2	2				
Whic	th part of	the docum	nent ar	e you respond	ling to?		
12	Page		2	Chapter	2.16		Paragraph
	Table or	Figure		Village Plan			
Vha	t is the n	ature of you	ur repr	esentation?		Support	Object
					on of support or e a separate she		please set out what chang
6	SE IT APPRO REPRO	DENTIFIE PRIATE ESENTI GTON	ED MOT ATIO	AT THU SIFICATIONS RE	NS TO TH	WHERE PRACTI ME GLEEN BEI TOLD WAR	T EFFECTED.

Rep. Ref.

For Official Use Only

Land at Old Warwick Road, Rowington.

This field lies opposite Branscombe House and has an area of approximately 1.18 hectares. It has long frontages to Old Warwick Road and Queens Drive and on its northern boundary abuts land owned by Rowington Almshouse Charity. The field is shown as Area R132 on a plan produced by Stephen Hay for a meeting with Rowington Parish Council last September.

I have recently become aware that Rowington PC were asked by the District Council for its views on residential development on area R132 and two other parcels of land, but so far as I am aware the Parish Council has not discussed this issue or formulated a response to the District Council. It seems

unlikely that it will do so before 20th January.

As a trustee of Rowington Almshouse Charity I am aware of the continuing demand for small affordable housing units in the village. There is also a need for some smaller houses/bungalows for sale on the open market to enable elderly people to move from their large properties whilst remaining

in the village, close to their friends.

I consider that Area R132 can make a contribution in both respects and envisage a development of about 15 units - 40% of which would be affordable housing in the form of 6 almshouses. These almshouses would be located parallel to numbers 3 - 8 Beech Close along the northern boundary of Area 132 but separated from them by the existing allotments. Access could probably be obtained from Beech Close across the eastern extremity of the allotments without impacting on the allotments to any significant degree. The Parish Council have a tenancy agreement on the allotments granted by the Almshouse Charity but this could be varied as necessary to enable development to take place. There is a clear logic in building any new almshouses close to the existing development of 19 units. It would expand the existing almshouse community at a single location which would facilitate efficient management and community spirit.

The other 9 units could be constructed along the frontage to Queens Drive with an access at the

southern end of this road close to the Old Warwick Road junction.

The land is level and has been used for grazing for many years; I do not think that it has any special value as agricultural land. It should be possible to drain the new buildings by gravity into existing sewers, subject to capacity. Water and electricity are available in the vicinity - there are some low voltage overhead power lines crossing the field. I am not aware of any ecological or other constraints. I propose that the whole of Area 132 should be excluded from the green belt.

notoniwu A beculi shiwastu bidha bazil

In a neithlies opposite Branscombe House and has are restored administration in Beclares, titles long rountages to Otr Werkinst Hood and Queens Dave are an accument boundary abuts land owned by Provention Americuse Charity. The field is shown as well to 2 on a plan produced by Stephen Have for a medical setting whether Pensh Council last September.

haye recently become aware that Rowington PC were asked by the basnot Council for its views on especial development on area R132 and two other parcels of land, but so fair as I am eware the them of a ship council has not discussed this issue or formulated a residence to the District Council It seems will get that it will do so before 20th January.

As a trustee of Rowington Almshouse Charty I am aware of the continuing demand for smallaffordable housing units in the village. There is also a need for some smaller houses/bungalows for sale on the open market to enable adderly people to move from their large properties whilst remaining

I consider that Area R132 can make a contribution in both respirits and envisor all development of about 15 units - 40% of which would be afford at a house, and the contribution of seas. These almshouses would be noted to normalist to normalists 3 - 5 depends on the season of the boundary of Arearl 35 but separated from them by the contribution of 11 and the estimated from the actives the easiern extremity of 11 a alignment is without importing on the allotments to any significant degree. The Pener Council have a tanancy agreement on the allotments granted by the Arnshouse Charity but this dould be varied as necessary to anable deviational to take place. There is a clear logic in building any new sinishouses close to the existing development of 19 units. It would expand the existing although community at a stript in order would facilitate efficient.

The other 9 units could be unstructed along the from the formation of the with an access at the southern end of this road close to the Old Warwick Tax and the formation of this road close to the Old Warwick Tax and the formation of this think that it has any special value as agricultural family already be possible to draw the second of gravity into existing severe subject to capacity Myster and electricity as a subject to capacity Myster and electricity as a subject to capacity there are some low of tax as well as explaints are some low as a second of the constraints of the constraints are strongly as the whole of the constraints are strongly as the whole of the constraints of the constraints.

Part C - Commenting on the Indicative Settlement Boundaries

hat is the nature of your representation? Passe set out full details of your objection or representation of soluted be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet in	Support upport If objecting, please set of necessary).	Object out what changes
rase set out full details of your objection or representation of sould be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet i	upport. If objecting, please set of necessary).	out what changes
uld be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet i	r necessary).	
		densamente neurone
	ibrary Iour Covenies CV3 ARI	

Rep. Ref.

For Official Use Only

Ref: