


LOCAL PLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only
Ref: 10315
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

| | 1. Personal Details | 2. Agent's Details (if applicable) |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| Title | Mr. | |
| First Name | ROBERT | |
| Last Name | MULGRUE | |
| Job Title (where relevant) | | |
| Address Line 1 | | |
| Address Line 2 | | |
| Address Line 3 | | |
| Address Line 4 | | |
| Postcode | | |
| Telephone number | | |
| Email address | | |
| Would you like to be made aware of future consultations on the new Local Plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| About You: Gender | | |
| Ethnic Origin | | |
| Age | | |
| Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, parish council? | PARISH COUNCIL | |

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Page

Chapter

Paragraph

Table or Figure

Village Plan

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

IF BARFORD HAS TO TAKE THE NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL HOMES CURRENTLY PROPOSED (70-90), THEN THE THREE PREFERRED OPTIONS ARE THE LEAST UNACCEPTABLE. AT THIS LEVEL OF ADDITIONAL HOMES ONE LARGE SITE WILL BE NECESSARY SINCE THE SMALLER SITES TOGETHER WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE THIS NUMBER. OF THE TWO LARGE SITES AVAILABLE THE SHERBOURNE NURSERY SITE IS LESS DISRUPTIVE OF THE VILLAGE'S SURROUNDING LANDSCAPE THAN THE FORMER BARFORD HOUSE LANDS. ON BALANCE THE TWO SMALLER SITES IN THE PREFERRED OPTIONS ARE ALSO MORE ACCEPTABLE THAN THE DISCOUNTED OPTIONS FOR THE REASONS GIVEN IN THE CONSULTATION DOCUMENT.

HOWEVER, IN ORDER NOT TO BE TOO DISRUPTIVE OF THE VILLAGE'S SOCIAL COHESION AND SERVICES, IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITES IS PHASED OVER THE FULL PERIOD OF THE LOCAL PLAN.

PLANNING APPROVALS FOR THE ADDITIONAL HOMES MUST ALSO ENSURE THAT THE MIX OF TYPES OF DWELLINGS MEETS LOCAL NEEDS AS IDENTIFIED IN THE HOUSING NEEDS SURVEY.

Part C - Commenting on the Indicative Settlement Boundaries

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which settlement are you responding to?

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

THE BULGE IN THE BOUNDARY TO THE EAST OF DUGARD PLACE IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE THE EXTENDED GARDEN OF ONE HOUSE IS ANOMALOUS. IT MIGHT ALSO CREATE AN UNDESIRABLE PRECEDENT FOR BACKLAND DEVELOPMENT AND ENCROACHMENT ON THE OPEN RURAL AREA. THE BOUNDARY SHOULD BE SET NEARER THE HOUSES AND ON THE SAME LINE AS THE END OF ALL THE OTHER BACK GARDENS.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.