LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

10502

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mes		
First Name	NICOLA	WDC PLANNING	
Last Name	DAVID	Ref	
Job Title (where relevant)		Officer	
Address Line 1			
Address Line 2			
Address Line 3			
Address Line 4			
Postcode			
Telephone number			
Email address			
Would you like to be made			
About You: Gender			
Ethnic Origin			
Age			
Where did you hear about this e	опоощают с.д. тааю, поторары, те	and or modely orangement person	
word of went			

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

	fyou are commenting on n	nultiple sections of the doc	ument you will need	to complete a sepa	arate sheet for each
Chapter Village Plan What is the nature of your representation? Support Object Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). I object to the preferred option (① Land to east of Church Lane). This will significantly change the visual impact of the village and create further congestion at an already busy bottleneck in the village. I do not agree with the averall proposal to build 100 additional properties in Radford Sensele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be far less, and it would not encreach on the		ennessions secondaria			
What is the nature of your representation? Support Support Object Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). I object to the preferred optical (1) Land to east of Church Lane). This will significantly change the visual impact of the village and create maner congestion at an already busy bottleneck in the village. I do not agree with the averall proposal to build 100 additional properties in Radford Senele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be far less, and it would not encroach on the	Which part of the docume	nt are you responding to?			
What is the nature of your representation? Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). I object to the preferred option (1) Land to east of Church Lane). This will significantly change the visual impact of the village and create further congestion at an already busy bottleneck in the village. I do not agree with the averall proposal to build 100 additional properties in Radford Sewele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be far less, and it would not encreach on the	56 Page	Chapter			Paragraph
Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). I object to the preferred option (and to east of Church Lane). This will significantly change the visual impact of the village and create further congestion at an already busy bottleneck in the village. I do not agree with the averall proposal to build 100 additional properties in Radford Senele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be far less, and it would not encreach on the	7 Table or Figure	Village Plan			
I object to the preferred option (1) Land to east of Church Lane). This will significantly change the visual impact of the village and create further congestion at an already busy bottleneck in the village. I do not agree with the averall proposal to build 100 additional properties in Radford Sevele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be for less, and it would not encreach on the	What is the nature of your	representation?		Support	Object
This will significantly change the visual impact of the cillage and create further congestion at an already busy bottleneck in the cillage. I do not agree with the areal proposal to build 100 additional properties in Radford Senele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be far less, and it would not encreach on the					et out what changes
This will significantly change the visual impact of the cillage and create further congestion at an already busy bottleneck in the cillage. I do not agree with the areal proposal to build 100 additional properties in Radford Senele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be far less, and it would not encreach on the	I object to the	preferred option	(O land to e	east of Chu	rch (are).
I do not agree with the averall proposal to build 100 additional properties in Radford Sevele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be far less, and it would not encreach on the					
I do not agree with the arerall proposal to build 100 additional properties in Radford Senele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be far less, and it would not encreach on the	and create for	ther congestion	at an alre	eady busy	bottleneck
additional properties in Radford Senele. However, if this is to go ahead then I would propose sites 2 & 3. The visual unpact will be far less, and it would not encreach on the	0				
impact will be far less, and it would not encroach on the	I do not agra	ze with the we	van propos	al co buch	is it fuis
impact will be far less, and it would not encroach on the	is to go alea	d then I would	d overese s	ito 2 a 7	The issued
	uipact will be	e far less, and	l'ot would	not our ou	act on the
					ach che ira

Rep. Ref.

For Official Use Only