

7901

LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only
Ref:
Rep. Ref. 7901

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	S	
Last Name	SABIN	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of other options?		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, parish council?	LOCALLY	



Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

56 Page Chapter Paragraph

57 Table or Figure Village Plan

What is the nature of your representation?

Support Object

Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

Preferred sites 1 and 4 are the only ones that do not extend the village envelope. Previously these sites would have been referred to as infilling.

By approving any kind of development on sites 2 and 3 will inevitably lead to further applications to build in an easterly direction. There is also the real threat of exacerbating the risk of flooding in the Valley and Valley Road by building on site 2 as the natural slope of the land drains into the stream at the bottom of the site. The access to the Southam Road from sites 2 and 3 is far worse than that from site 1. I would propose that the number of dwellings proposed for site 1 is reduced by a number that could easily be contained on site 4.

The mail shot that we have received from Messrs. Gladman showing a site access opposite the White Lion is totally ill conceived.

A road re-alignment and traffic lights/roundabout at the junction of School Lane/ Southam Road and Church Lane would solve many traffic flow problems. It would also slow down the traffic that consistently persists on travelling through the village at speeds in excess of 30mph. This would also cater for extra traffic from site 4. In reply to a letter that I sent to Chris Elliott, Chief Executive, WDC, in part he states that, "It is understood that that the Cedar, (miss-spelt Ceder) Tree Farm site, is located some distance from the main village (with no supporting services) and is not perceived to be a suitable location for supporting a sustainable approach to delivering housing". This site has, on more than one occasion, been proposed by the Parish Council as a suitable site for a new settlement. On each occasion it has been dismissed out of hand.

It is strange, therefore, that not more than a few hundred yards away is a WDC's proposed travellers site. Strange how the "supporting a sustainable approach to delivering housing" can change from one side of the A425 to the other.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.