LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only
Ref.
Rep. Ref. | 1805

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

MR COLIN DALY	
DALY	
	WDC PL HNIN
	Ref
	Officer
	17 JAN 20
	SCANNED CC CR PD
	PRE GEN B
Ffu	an? Yes No
ultation e.g. radio, newspaper, w	vord of mouth, exhibitions, parish council?
	oltation e.g. radio, newspaper, w

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

ich part of the documer	nt are you responding to?		
Page	Chapter		Paragraph
Table or Figure	Village Plan		
nat is the nature of your r	representation?	Support	Object
ase set out full details of	your representation of supprour objection (Use a separa	ort or objection. If objecting,	please set out what changes
to be made to reserve y	oor objection (ose a separa	te sheet if fiecessary,	
Plan	attacked she	- +	
Hease see	attacled se		
		REPORTURE	

Rep. Ref.

Ref:

My wife and I wish to lodge the strongest possible objection to the proposed housing development on allotments at the rear of Rugby Rd, Cubbington. We object both as nearby residents as well as allotment holders.

My wife's grandparents cultivated an allotment on this site as far back as the 1940's and we are still doing the same today. The loss of such an essential village amenity is beyond comprehension when other sites are available.

We are amazed that Cubbington Parish Council have approved this without prior consultation with residents and are disregarding the detrimental effect of losing established allotments, particularly in the current economic climate, the added impact on road safety and without acknowledging the imminent building of HS2 in the near vicinity. This area of Cubbington stands to be irreversibly damaged by both the proposed housing development and the HS2 railway. We residents will suffer the impact of both these intrusions on our lives.

With reference to the information provided to us, we make the following points:

• Instead of using both Areas 1 and 2, why not use the whole of the field (Area 2) thus avoiding the destruction of the allotments?

 We understand that the owners of the Riding Stables in Coventry Rd are willing to sell - why not use that site (4) with the benefits of the infrastructure already in place to support the Cotton Mill Spinney development? It would be easier to relocate the allotments on Site 3 which is smaller than Site 1 and also have no impact on other homes.

• The proposed development of 75 houses will see an increase in traffic. With an average of 2 cars per household, this is an increase of approx 150 cars onto the Rugby and Coventry Roads. It is already a nightmare trying to exit our driveway onto the Rugby Rd at peak times and we ask you to consider that, by using the allotment entrance/exit, a virtual crossroads will be created with it's proximity to Broadway opposite. This new 'crossroads' will be just a short distance from the Rugby Rd/ Coventry Rd/Church Lane junction creating another hazard. Even though the speed limit on the Rugby Road is now 30mph, the presence of the Police Speed Camera Van on this road approximately every other week, must indicate the Police have valid and current concerns for speeding traffic and potential accidents on this stretch of road.

 Cubbington is already plagued with issues of flooding and sewage. The main drains from the back of houses approx 121 to 173A Rugby Rd culminate on the allotments. This is another potential problem.

We therefore urge you not to build on the allotment Site (1). The other possible sites are much more logical and would avoid depriving us of a valued and much needed Community amenity.