# LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



## Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only

Ref

Rep. Ref.

4418

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

#### Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	mR.	and the second
First Name	BRYAN.	WDC PLANNING Ref
Last Name	Sims	Officer
Job Title (where relevant)		2 0 JAN 2014
Address Line 1		SCANNED
Address Line 2		CC CR PD MA
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		atra wall
Postcode		24 10 24 P2 123 OF
Telephone number		
Email address		The state of the s
Would you like to be made aw	are of future consultations on the new	Local Plan? Yes No
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this	consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, w	ora or moder, cambidone, paneri cooneii.
5	on, AND PARISH COU	

## Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

lf you are commenting on multi representation	iple sections of the	document you	will need to complet	te a separate she	et for each
Sheet of I					
Which part of the document of	are you responding	to?			
38 Page	Chapter			Po	aragraph
Table or Figure	Village Plan				
What is the nature of your rep	presentation?		Support	Object	
Please set out full details of you could be made to resolve you				please set out wh	nat changes
I support of South of the South of the integral part School etc, a other proposed  2). The developed to the part of homes, and homes, and coaches to reach the homes to reach the homes and coaches to reach the homes and than any other homes and the coaches to reach the homes and the coaches the coaches to reach the homes and the coaches to reach the homes and the coaches the coaches to reach the homes and the coaches the coaches to reach the homes and the coaches the coa	far better of the vill and would Sites.  pment could ind Space to Intrance to Road, thus negotiating school conent would less invasi er proposed	r Suited lase co not bed d be flan to plan the so relieving the no jates. Rave les sites.	for development, new come just a carefully play the village hool could she ongo, mow roads as usual imprivacy, and	ment to be ar to ame ar to ame and wind and wind school as be created in sproblem through the pact due muso noise	to its  pollution
5). New traffic and Reamington and the alread	could use a lucrucie to	thout f	wood Road urther consessions	to access	the myo
	j e sigero es		- , ou rou	James Cit	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Rep. Ref.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

### Part C - Commenting on the Indicative Settlement Boundaries

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet	l_of	1

Which settlement are you responding to?

BISHOPS TACHBROOK

Support

What is the nature of your representation?

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

If we are forced to have Rousing developments in the village, I would support the alteration of the boundary to the South of the village (Preferred option ) as the only viable extension. However, the new boundary shown on page 39, in my opinion extends too far west. The narrow "extra" western area should be changed by extending the line running South along Holt Avenue to meet the southern perimeter. See diagram.

REMOVE

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

r	~~	~~
	1	1/2
M		1//
<b>D</b> >+		4