LOCALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict



Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only

Ref:

11903

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

CONTROL SECTION	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR +MRS	(relas its regards)
First Name	AUAN + FRANCES	COME OF AUGUSTANA
Last Name	PEGL	WDC PLANNING Ref
Job Title (where relevant)		Officer
Address Line 1		17 JAN 2014
Address Line 2		SCANNED
Address Line 3		PRE GEN DIS
Address Line 4		211 6 2/2/2
Postcode		the man noce
Telephone number		CC37312, MANS
Email address		at shappean
Would you like to be made awa	are of future consultations on the new Local Plan	n? Yes No
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this o	consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mo	uth, exhibitions, parish council?
PARISH COUNCIL		

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separepresentation	rate sheet for each		
Sheet / of /	Villagge Ho		
Which part of the document are you responding to?			
56 Page Chapter	Paragraph		
57 Table or Figure Village Plan			
What is the nature of your representation?	Object		
Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).			
We object to Site no 1. This is an attractive rurd cetting with the church historically ineved across the open helds. As regards access to the development, it is already difficult to access the main would from School Lane and also Offichisch Lane. Traffic Lights would not alleviate this at all with all the extra traffic. The church is a listed building. We would recomment sites no 2 and 3 due to less impact to the rulage if there was a small development either side of the main road. Traffic would also not be joining the main road at the same place that 2 other roads gair access awing considerable congression, especially at significant through the day.			

Rep. Ref.

For Official Use Only

Ref: