



Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only
Ref: 0757
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.
If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	PAULINE	
Last Name	NEALE	
Job Title (where relevant)	RETIRED TEACHER	
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?		

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 2 of 2

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?
 (e.g. GTO4 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way) GT19 OAKLANDS FARM, BIRMINGHAM RD

What is the nature of your representation? Support Object Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Potential harm to the green belt. In full view on main A4177 road into Warwick so negative impact on tourism + spoiling openness of an at present untrammelled corridor approaching Warwick.
 This is Green Belt land where planning permission for industrial use related to timber already refused. Why is it then deemed suitable for residential development where children, the elderly, frail would be at risk from health + safety issues due to living close to deep water, a railway + a fast dangerous road? Ugly Bridge Rd not suitable for further traffic. The community extant would be overwhelmed by the proposal, potentially dominated by outside individuals with no interest in the community, they'd be living on a temporary basis, no integration would happen. A4177 is crowded and dangerous, a notorious fatal accident blackspot. The landowner is unwilling to release the land for 8 pitches. A CPO would be a waste of public money. Longest flight of locks in England endangered.

For Official Use Only

Ref. _____ Rep. Ref. _____

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 2 of 3

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

Fosse way site. Is a rural setting amidst agricultural land so less likely to impact residential development
It has no historical importance & is not near a river to endanger young & vulnerable people.

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

No.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.