OCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only
Ref. 26|5
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

œ

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details Agent's Details (if applicable) Title First Name **Last Name** Job Title (where relevant) Organisation (where relevant) Address Line 1 Address Line 2 Address Line 3 Address Line 4 **Postcode** Telephone number **Email address** Would you like to be made aware of fu About You: Gender Ethnic Origin Age Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation
Sheet of Land
The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and
sustainability. These are the criteria:
- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
 Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
 Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance Agricultural land quality
 Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
The potential for the site to be adequately screened The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
 Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
 Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
 Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
 Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
 Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements
Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.
Which site are you responding to? GTalt 01 Brakside wallows le.g. GT04 Land at Harbury LanelFosse Way)
What is the nature of your representation? $ imes$ Support Object Comment
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
Good road access already hourded. Cround already closured for caround site, Therefore cost effective.
Ground already cleaned for asserbuy site Therefore
cost ejective.
Screening from road must be maintained to
hereve the landrage changes of general to hatoric
umunch ?- hertage accept of castle.
Screening from road must be maintained to preserve the landscape character of approach to historic mountained to heritage asset of castle. Reasonable size would fulfill large preportion of
volc requements
The second of th
i. Til sekara kara 121 majar salah salah salah sekaran keraja beraja berajak sekaran beraja beraja berajak bahara
For Official Use Only

Rep. Ref.

Ref:

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation
Sheet of 2
The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:
- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
 Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
 Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
 Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
 Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
 Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
 Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
 Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements
Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.
•
Which site are you responding to? GT 15 Europa Way leg. GT04 Land at Harbury LanelFosse Way!
(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)
What is the nature of your representation? Support 🔨 Object Comment
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
Access outo years has as a made to Mus
Access onto very busy access roads to M40 No busses to wannick or hearnington or Bulap's Tach- brook; ie to amounties
No busses to wannick or bearing toy or sulver clack-
brook; le to amenities
the area is prove to flooding impered on the land scape No footpathe to amenities
unless on the lander
the to the
No footfathe to amenities
Control of the Contro

For Official Use Only

Ref

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 2 of 2

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

GTalto, Brookende - well ecreened from road, handing privacy to compents.
Bood road access in both directions hargete site.

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only

Ref.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation
Sheet 1 of 2
The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability a sustainability. These are the criteria:
- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
 Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
 Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
 Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
The potential for the site to be adequately screened
 Access to the road network
 Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
 Proximity to other residential properties
 Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
 Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
 Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
 Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements
Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.
Which site are you responding to?
Which site are you responding to? [e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Laneffosse Way] What is the nature of your representation? Support Comment
What is the nature of your representation? Support Comment
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

Proximity to residential proportion.

Timperet on land scape approach to wint ullage Road access - perilone function with bombung Ro, where fatalities have occurred.

Prove to flooding hossite facilities, with 175 new house already planned.

loss of agreential Rand.

For Official Use Only		
Ref:	Rep. Ref.	

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation
--

Sheet 2 of 2

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

6T06-hese infact on landcage & rusel aspect of the uillage

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only