

Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only

Ref: 6785

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Mrs.

First Name

Joy

Last Name

FRENCH

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number


Email address

Would you like to be made aware of

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age



Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 1 of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

GTalt 03 Herley Road | Hampton Rd.

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

I wish to register very strong objection to the inclusion of site GTalt 03 in the consultation document 'Local Plan - Sites for Gypsies + Travellers' dated March 2014. The site is within the Green Belt. It has been the subject of two planning refusals by WDC Planning Committee in 2009 + 2011. The site has also been Dismissed by Planning Inspectorate at appeal hearings in 2009, in 2010 the W.D.C took out an Injunction to prevent any development. The conditions that applied then are still valid + relevant today. It is inconceivable that it should be given any consideration by the very authority that Refused these applications. Hampton-on-the-Hill is NOT identified within either the adopted W.D.C local plan (2006) or within the 'Village Housing Options' consultation as a sustainable location for any new residential development. As such it is also not suitable as a sustainable location for Travellers Site. I therefore request that the site GTalt. 03 be reclassified as a red site and removed from any consideration in this consultation.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.