



Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only
Ref: 7940
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

- Title
- First Name
- Last Name
- Job Title (where relevant)
- Organisation (where relevant)
- Address Line 1
- Address Line 2
- Address Line 3
- Address Line 4
- Postcode
- Telephone number
- Email address
- Would you like to be made aware of
- About You: Gender
- Ethnic Origin
- Age



Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet _____ of _____

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

GT02 FOSSE WAY

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

See attached page

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Untitled

I would like to register my strong objection to the gypsy and traveller site being located at GT02 now or at any time in the future.

This site has been deemed in the past to be not suitable for a housing development and the government criteria is that any gypsy/traveller site cant be located anywhere that is deemed unsuitable for normal housing development. this site is also situated on a very busy main road and access would have to be out onto the Fosse Way from this site for both adults and children. This road has suffered several fatalaties in the past couple of years and many accidents occur on and around the roundabout where out house is located. Speed restrictions have been put in place to try and minimise these accidents but I feel it would be very unsafe to have a major entrance and exit onto a site that could potentially have up to 50 vehicle access.

The local schools and doctors surgeries are also very oversubscribed currently and that is before any housing development of a further 100 houses to be built in Radford Semele goes ahead. There is only one bus stop outside Honey Cottage to give access to the facilities of towns, doctors and schools which would mean children having to walk along the Fosse Way itself on the verge as there are no footpaths and very limited street lighting on winter days.

This area is deemed to have historical value also being a Roman Road with many findings of architectural value in the area.

The impact this site would have on local business would be disastrous, with the Exhibition Centre over the road, local pubs and garages losing business and even possibly closing down as a result of GT02 having a gypsy and traveller site so close. Unfortunately whether it is true or not people do fear for their safety and that of their property when in close proximity to most gypsy sites, as has been the case with the Old Bull and Butcher site in Ryton, Coventry which used to be a thriving business. The economy is just showing signs of slow recovery and the last thing any area needs is for job losses and business closures to take effect when it can so easily be avoided.

I also strongly oppose the compulsory purchase issue. Stratford Council have said they will do everything in their power to not use CPO option, I fail to understand how any hard working citizen can be made to give up their land at a minimal price for it to be sold to anyone else who would then have development permission, making the land worth a fortune, when the purchasers themselves have never had to work for the land. This goes against everything I have ever believed in, surely someone has a right to keep what they have worked for, and failing that they should be given full market value of the property for the purpose under which it is being compulsorily purchased for, and should be sold on at the full market value of the land at full development price so that no profiteering can be taken advantage of by the purchaser.

Please log my strong objection to the site GT02 for any gypsy/traveller site option, and my opposition to CPO for any site being looked at. There must be many council sites that could be used effectively for this purpose so that no private individual should have to lose their land.