

Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only

Ref:

9629

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

MRS

First Name

ANNE

Last Name

LOYD

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be made aware of further information?

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

NEWSPAPER

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 1 of 3

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

~~GT04~~ ^{GT12} - BARFORD (Land North of Westhams Lane)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

1. Barford bypass is a very dangerous road & there have been at least 2 deaths within 1 mile radius, & several deaths between Wellesbourne & Longbridge Island.
2. Plans are in for considerable development on the Nursery & 2 other sites (H21, H20, H22) which will overload the school places, so children on the Gypsy site may have to go to Wellesbourne, Warwick etc. to school, as they will live further away.
3. At the consultation, we were shown a newly built ~~site~~ Gypsy site. Why then we not shown a site of 5+

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

years old? That was grossly unfair to tell us what the proposed site would look like when we all know what they look like in time. Houses overlook this site, & with the new development on the Nursery, which you don't show on G12 map, a lot of people overlook this site (more than any other Preferred sites).

Benford has been renowned as the one of the best places to live in Britain, so is it fair that people who have worked hard & bought property in the area to have to overlook such a site?

4. Gypsies will not be able to integrate with the village due to the dangers by pass or crossing it is so dangerous.
5. This is agriculture land, ~~not~~ of high quality - higher than level on G19.
6. In the press it has said that you cannot Compulsory Purchase land for Gypsy sites. Of the preferred sites, Benford is the only site that requires a CPO.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 3 of 3

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

Ms. Doherty of the Traveller Movement has said that 'Times have changed to Travellers while to settle'. Therefore, sites should be incorporated into the larger developments in the Draft Local Plan. This will save money for rate payers. Why should we have to pay for these sites? At the consultation stage in, the Council representative said Developers would not develop the large sites if that was a condition. THAT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.