

Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only
Ref: 9989
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR.	
First Name	ROBERT	
Last Name	COCHRANE	
Job Title (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this con	NEWSPAPER + ME	

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?
 (e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

What is the nature of your representation? Support Object Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE GREENBELT ALONG A MAIN ROUTE & ON VIEW IN A PROMINENT TOURIST BEAUTY-SPOT. THIS SITE COULD DO UNTOOLD DAMAGE TO WARWICK'S MUCH NEEDED TOURIST TRADE. IT WOULD ALSO HARM THE CHARACTER & OPENESS OF THE LOCALITY. THE CURRENT "1-2 OCCASIONAL HOLIDAY TOURIST VANS" USING THIS SITE CANNOT BE COMPARED TO A PERMANENT SITE WITH ALL ITS VEHICLES, MACHINERY & NOISE. IT WOULD NEED ALL ROUND SCREENING & SAFETY MEASURES WHICH WOULD LOOK OUT OF PLACE & BRING UNWELCOME FOCUS ON THE SITE. IT WOULD BE VERY DISTURBING TO THE FEW CLOSE-KNIT LOCAL RESIDENTS WHO WOULD BE PROPORTIONALLY OUTNUMBERED - THIS WOULD NOT CREATE A PEACEFUL CO-EXISTENCE AT ALL. THE A4117 LOCATION HAS A RECORD OF ACCIDENTS & FATALITIES & THE SITE ENTRANCE IS AT THE VERY TIGHT BRIDGE JUNCTION OF UGLY BRIDGE RD & SHELL GARAGE - OPEN 24HRS SO MORE FATALITIES WOULD BE INEVITABLE. ALSO VERY DETRIMENTAL TO THE ECOLOGY & WILDLIFE. GT19 IS THE SMALLEST SITE COMPARED TO OTHERS & WOULD HAVE TO BE CRAMMED WITH CARAVANS & VEHICLES TO MEET 5 PITCHES - VERY UNSAFE & VERY UNPLEASANT FOR ALL.

For Official Use Only	Rep. Ref.
Ref:	

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

IN MY OPINION IT WOULD BE MORE SENSIBLE & COST EFFECTIVE AND CLEARLY A SAFER OPTION TO -
DEVELOP GT AT 01 BROOKSIDE WILLOWS AS IT CREATES LESS IMPACT, IT IS CLOSE TO THE AREA OF LARGER NEW DEVELOPMENT WITH NEW HOUSING & MAIN INFRASTRUCTURE WHICH IS ALREADY A SITE DEEMED FIT FOR PURPOSE
GT 12 LAND NORTH & WEST OF WESTHAM LANE, LOOKS ADEQUATE IN SIZE & WOULD NOT CREATE SUCH MAJOR CONGESTION ON THE LOCAL ROAD NETWORK.
GT 11 LAND AT BUDBROOKE LODGE - WOULD APPEAR TO OFFER POTENTIAL FOR EITHER A PERMANENT OR A TRANSIT SITE
ALL OF THESE SITES OFFER FAR GREATER CAPACITY FOR PITCHES SIZE & NEEDS OF A SITE COMPARED TO OAKLANDS FARM WHICH WOULD ONLY BE DETRIMENTAL TO A HIGHLY VALUED TOURIST SPOT OF HATTON LOCKS

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

THE AREAS AROUND COVENTRY & RUGBY NEED TO BE EXPLORED MORE FULLY - SURELY THERE ARE MORE BROWNFIELD SITES THAT ARE WORTH MORE INVESTIGATION & FUNDING.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.