


Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only
Ref: 10936
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council. Where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the ID Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan



Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent

Title

MR

First Name

HOWARD

Last Name

CLEAL

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number


Email address

Would you like to be made aware of f

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age



Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

letter dated 17/3/2014

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 1 of 2

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

GT 0 2

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

It will have a detrimental effect on local business in near vicinity ie Warwickshire exhibition centre, business will be lost as people will not buy exhibits next door to a gypsy site - he will lose business + peoples jobs, this will affect local jobs -
 The access onto busy Fosse Way A425 which has increased traffic since Jaguar/Landrover + Aston Martin have expanded at Gaydon, it is sometimes difficult to get out at Roundabout at Peak Times with a build up of traffic. With the recent plans for extra housing development this will make a possibility than the local schools would be unsuitable + not have enough intake spaces.
 There is no pedestrian access from this site and would impact on the visual character of the area
 Also close to other residential properties.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Sheet 2 of 2

If these sites are developed who will pay for the upkeep + facilities, sewers, lighting etc.

I hope this is not going to increase my Council tax, it should be paid for by the travellers not local people who will not benefit from this site.

There will be an impact on land contamination (I have been paid other traveller sites ie in Northampton area + rubbish + litter are just thrown over farmers hedges into the surrounding fields and this directly behind the sites who is going to pay for the constant clear up.

This will be an eyesore from whichever way you travel from Leamington/Southam or along the Fosseway surely this is building on Green belt/Agricultural land.

You say this is not Green belt land so what is Green belt land.

I responded to a previous consultation + when I asked at the exhibition in the village hall I was told the site had changed to the opposite side of the road + previous consultation comments no longer stood.

People I have spoke to since who have not received a letter about this still think this stands and knew nothing about the change of plan.
How can this be a true consultation when nobody has been given the true facts

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

NO I WOULD NOT SUPPORT
ANOTHER SITE.

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.