


## Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only
Ref: 10937
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

**If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.**

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)



### Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

Title: MRS  
 First Name: SUSAN  
 Last Name: CREAL

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Job Title (where relevant)  
 Organisation (where relevant)


Address Line 1  
 Address Line 2  
 Address Line 3  
 Address Line 4

Postcode  
 Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be made aware of future consultations?

About You: Gender  
 Ethnic Origin  
 Age



Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

GT 02

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

This site will be a blot on the landscape in a rural setting with potential to close down local business situated opposite no one will want to take valuable exhibits next door to a Traveller site. Who is going to pay for this unwanted structure which will leave nearby land full of litter. Agricultural land should be kept for farming not buildings. This will ruin the views across the area & be accessed onto a ~~already~~ busy main road with all the extra houses allegedly needed in Radford already this is putting extra pressure on schools & shops a local community. There is no pathways near this site making it dangerous for children walking to school. Who is going to pay for this as I am not willing to pay extra on my taxes for something not needed in this area.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet            of

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

No do not believe we need any sites  
in this area.

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

There was nothing in the letter you sent stating  
this was a change of plan.  
And your consultation times of 4.30-6.30 pm when  
everyone is still at work was a farce.  
Most people in village believe they have already sent  
responses to this matter & it still stands  
you have changed the goalposts.  
Without telling anyone the facts