



Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only 11880

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

NP.

First Name

PHILLIP

Last Name

COOCAN

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be made aware of f

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age

WDC PLANNING

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

WORD OF NOUTH

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each

Sheet \ of 2

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

6702 - LAND ABUTTING FOSSE WAY 1A 425

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)
What is the nature of your representation?

Support

✓ Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

THE FOSSE WAY AND SOUTHAM ROAD IN THIS AREA ARE EXTREMELY BUSY AND DANGEROUS - WE HEAR SIRENS FROM EMERGENCY WEHLCLES WRITHALLY EVERY RUSH HOUR. IT WOULD BE IRRESPONSIBLE TO ENCOURAGE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC TO THESE ROADS AND HAVE WEHLCLES STOPPING ISLOWING TO THEN ONTO THE SITE. ACCESS FOR CONSTRUCTION WEHLCLES WOULD ALSO BE WHACCEPTABLY DANGEROUS. THERE IS NO PEDESTRIAN ACCESS.

THE SITE IS FAR TOO CLOSE TO RADFORD SEMELE, WHICH CANNOT POSSIBLY COPE WITH ATRANSIENT PORMINTION: THERE IS NO DOCTOR'S SURGERY; THE ROADS ARE ALREADY TOO BUSY; AND THE SCHOOL WOULD BE OISRUPTED SEVERELY BY ASSORTED CHILDREN OROPPING IN FOR

for Official Use Only		
Ref:	Rep. Ref.	
<u> </u>		

A COUPLE OF WEEKS AT A TIME. THERE IS ALSO NO PARKING FOR OUTSIDERS WHO WOULD NEED TO DRIVE WTO THE VILLAGE, BADFORD SEMELE IS ALREADY BEWGE THREATENED WITH 100 NEW HOUSES WITH WHICH IT CAN NOT POSSIBLY COPE - THIS ADDS WOULT TO INJURY. IT WOULD CLEARLY BE MORE SENSIBLE TO LOCATE TRAVELLER SITES CLOSE TO COMENTRY, WHICH HAS THE FACILITIES TO COPE WITH THE INFLUX.

THE FOSSE WAY IS AN ANCIENT ROAD OF GREAT HISTORIC SIGNIFICANCE:
THIS AND THE SURROUNDING AREA SHOULD BE PROTECTED.

APPARENTLY THE IANDOWNER DOES NOT WISH TO SELL AND I BELIEVE SOME BUSINESS HAS ALREADY BEEN LOST AS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE COUNCIL'S THREATS: THOSE COUNCIL EMPLOYEES RESPONSIBLE FOR THREATENING A COMPUSORY PURCHASE ORDER SHOWLD BE MADE TO COMPENSATE HIM/HER PERSONALLY. USING A CPO IN THIS CASE CAN NOT POSSIBLY BE JUSTIFIED: IT IS ENTRELY TO BENEFIT PEOPLE WITH NO CONNECTION TO THE AREA, AGAINST THE WISHES OF LOCAL TAXPAYERS, AND AT OUR EXPENSE - DISORACEFUL.

USING THIS SITE WOULD CHANGE AND DESTROY THE CHARACTER OF THE AREA AND SEVERELY INCONVENIENCE RESIDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED THERE FOR DECADES.

IT WOULD BE A HIGHLY UISIBLE EYESORE FROM ALL DIRECTIONS.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If vo	u are commenting	on multiple sites	you will need to	complete a se	eparate sheet for ec	ich representation
-------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------------

Sheet 2 of 2

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

✓ Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

THE FOSSE WAY IS BUSY AND DANGEROUS AND ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC!
TURNING INTO THE SITE SHOULD NOT BE ENCOURAGED. IT IS NOT
WITHIN WALKING DETANCE OF ANY FACILITIES, THERE IS NO PEDESTRIAN
FOSTPATH AND NO CAPACITY TO BUILD ONE.
THE FOSSE WAY IS OF HISTORIC IMPORTANCE, LARGELY SURROUNDED.
BY TRADITIONAL AGRICULTURAL LAND, AND THIS SHOULD BE CONTINUED.
THE SURROUNDING VILLAGES ARE FAR LESS ABLE TO COPE WITH A
TRANSIENT POPULATION THAN A LARGE CENTRE SUCH AS COLENTRY:
ANY TRAVELLER SITES SHOULD BE AS CLOSE AS POSSIBLE TO THE
CITY RATHER THAN DAMAGNOG VILLAGE COMMUNITIES.
PTO.

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	Rep. Ref.

THE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC BOTH TO THE SITE, AND FROM THE SITE TO THE VILLAGES, WOULD CAUSE ADDITIONAL CONGESTION AND POLLUTION.

THE SITE IS VERY VISIBLE AND WOULD SPOIL THE LOOK OF THE AREA. IT IS NOT AT ALL IN-KEEPING WITH SURROUNDING VILLAGES.