



Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only 12445 Ref: Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

MA

First Name

LOJERT

Last Name

HUNT

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be made aware of fut

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age



Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

WOND OF MOUTH

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

GT 12

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

I HAVE LIVED IN BARFORD FOR OVER 50 YEARS I CAME

AS FARM MANAGER FOR THE CATE JIM SAMMS. WE FARMED

THE LARD AMOUND WESTHAM LANE. WE GREW POTATOES, SWEDES

AND MANGLES THE LAND WAS PERFECT FOR VEGETABLE GROWING. IT

IS GOOD QUALITY LAND WHICH SHOULD NOT BE USED AS A TRAVELLER

SITE. THE ROAD CROSSING IS DANGEROUS. I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED

IN ONE SERIOUS ALCIDENT ON THE BYPASS. THERE ARE NO MAIN

SERVICES TO THE LAND.

For	Official	Use	Only

Ref.

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

- are 2 commenting on the cypty and navener releases
If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation
Sheet of
If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that
you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?
THE SITE AT HARBURY LANE IS MORE SUITABLE AND
THE SITE AT HARBURY LAND IS MORE SUITABLE AND WOOLD HAVE CESS IMPACT ON THE COMMUNITY.
GTHE COUNCIL SHOULD PLAN FOR THANGLER SITES TO BE INCLUDED IN THE MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS ROUND WARWICH, LEAMINGTON AND KENILWORTH.
BE INCLUDED IN THE MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS ROUND
Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy ar Traveler site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below: