

# LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



## Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only

Ref: 12058-3

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)


### Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	
First Name	
Last Name	
Job Title (where relevant)	
Organisation (where relevant)	
Address Line 1	
Address Line 2	
Address Line 3	
Address Line 4	
Postcode	
Telephone number	
Email address	
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations?	
About You: Gender	
Ethnic Origin	
Age	
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?	

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

MR  
JOHN PAYNE



## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt ✓
- Impact on Landscape character ✓
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues ✓
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met ✓
- Impact on ecology ✓
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance ✓
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area ✓
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened ✓
- Access to the road network ✓
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians ✓
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site) ✓
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements ✓

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?  
 (e.g. G104 Land at Harbury Lane/ Osse Way) **GT19 OAKLANDS FARM BIRMINGHAM ROAD**

What is the nature of your representation?  Support  Object  Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

GREEN BELT LAND: This should be sufficient objection and end of the debate full stop. It is certainly NOT "Very Exceptional Circumstances!"

IMPACT ON LANDSCAPE & CHARACTER: The site will have a negative impact both on a visual and noise basis, for tourism & ecology/wildlife.

Detrimental to Ecology, Nature & Wildlife: Councils are promoting the growth of nature by leaving "green areas" intact for wildlife habitat but then want to build on "Green Belt", which is pure hypocrisy!

ACCIDENT BLACK SPOT: It will purely add to further potential fatalities.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

I understand the sites on the A46 were offered to the travellers but declined. (The old Little Chert site).

This would be the ONLY site I would consider, but to be perfectly honest I do not appreciate why any site should be offered?

I would also appreciate an understanding of the costs for these sites and who is supposed to pay for them.

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below.

For Official Use Only

Ref.

Rep. Ref.