# LOCALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict

- 6 MAY 2014



## Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only
Ref. | 2U87
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

#### Part A - Personal Details

|  | 1. Personal Details  | 2. Agent's Details (if app   | ylicable)  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Title 1  | Me   | i de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del la compania de la compania del la compania de la compania del la compania de | a mem assumption of  |
| First Name   | DAVIO  | A CONTRACTOR AND A CONT | o Maeriko erropea (1884-1884)  |
| Last Name  | Brows  | en e   | Section 2 to the section of the sect |
| lob Title (where relevant)   | na tra i di salam da salam da<br>Na salam da | A STATE OF THE STA | ing the second s |
| Organisation (where relevant   |  |  | # P  |
| Address Line 1   |  |  |  |
| Address Line 2   |  |  |  |
| Address Line 3   |  |  |  |
| Address Line 4   |  |  |  |
| Postcode   |  |  |  |
| Telephone number   |  |  |  |
| Email address  |  |  |  |
| Would you like to be made aware a  |  |  |  |
| September 1 Sept. Sept. All Sept. Se |  |  |  |
| About You: Gender  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Origin  |  |  |  |
| Age  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

| Sheetof   |
|---|
| Sheet   |
| The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:   |
| - Impact on the green belt  |
| - Impact on Landscape character   |
| - Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets   |
| - Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues   |
| - Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met   |
| = impact on ecology   |
| Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance   |
| Agricultural land quality   |
| Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area  |
| The potential for the site to be adequately screened  The potential for the site to be adequately screened  |
| - Access to the road network  |
|   |
| <ul> <li>Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities</li> <li>Proximity to other residential properties</li> </ul>   |
|   |
| Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land   |
| - Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians   |
| <ul><li>Site topography</li><li>Suitable size</li></ul>   |
|   |
| - Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)  |
| <ul> <li>Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements</li> </ul>   |
| Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.  |
| Which site are you responding to?  [le.g. GT04) Land at Harbury Lanerosse Way)  What is the nature of your representation?  Support  Object  Comment  Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.  THE PROPOSED SITE WILL BE BUILT ON A GREEN AREA. IT WILL HAVE A BIG IMPACT ON THE CHARLETER OF THE LANDICAPE. |
|   |
| THE ROAD IS EXCEPTIONALLY BUSY ETYFLALLY AT PEAK TIMES  |
| THE PERSON AND OF INCREASE RISK OF ACCIDENTS.   |
| A PIZISON, SUCH HIGH FENCES WOULD SE REDURED.   |
| THE CUTE IS COOK BY AS TO A SERVICED.   |
| THE SITE IS BORDED BY ANOTHER STARL RUAD AND A CHIAL, TIS   |
| SOUNDS LIKE ACCIDENTS WAITING TO HARDEN   |
| OF A SITE IS CREATED SOMEWHERE IT MUST BE WORTH   |
| Devaloring, Tuis seams very smu with a massive impact?  |
| For Official Use Only   |
| Ref: Rep. Ref.  |
| L   |

### Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

| If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation  |
|---|
| Sheet of  |
| If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?  |
| 1 DO HOT HAVE ANOTHER SITE IN MIND.   |
| THE TRAVELLER SITE IS NOT A RESIDENTAL HOME<br>AND AS SUCH SHOULD POT BE PUT AMOUNGET OR NEAR   |
| TO NORMAL RESTORMED HOUSING AREAS.  |
| I AM JURE THERE ARE BETTER PLACES THAN THE  |
| PROPOSED MATTON SITE, ON THE BUSY BIRMINSHAM  |
| 12040 AND ABBASANT TO A CANAC.  |
|   |
| Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below: |
| No, NOT SPECIFICALLY  |
| CAN A BROWN FIED DEVELOPMENT BE FOUND?  |
| CAJ A SITE THAT IS AWAY FROM RESTORMAND ARCHS BE FOUND? -> THIS IS NOT ABOUT INTEGRATION IT IS ANOTHER WAY OF LIFE!   |