

Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only

Ref: 12498

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Ms

First Name

Georgina

Last Name

Edwards

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be made aware of f

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 1 of 2

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way) GT04 at Harbury Lane/Fosse way.

What is the nature of your representation? Support Object Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

- The schools in Harbury are over subscribed as is Bishop's Itchington and the Fosse way is extremely busy and car / would be difficult to cross at school beginning and end.
- Doctors surgery in Harbury full
- Shops at Harbury, Radford Semele and Warwick, Gates and Whitnash are convenient stores - could cause more greater traffic congestion & needed for a large group of people.
- Harbury Lane site would need to be totally secure for children and animals

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 2 of 2

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

With other sites in Warwickshire not fully used by travellers - There is not need for more or certainly not on the scale of size of this preferred site.

The report that sites are being identified from is many years old and out of date so the validity is flawed - Also M.P.s are contesting and looking into this. Therefore there should be ~~no~~ no work until all this is verified reducing the cost to WDC.

The number of traveller and friends who need the sites do not add up and WDC information on this should be published especially to those on boundary of the site eg. SDC residents.

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.