

Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only

Ref: 12499.

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Mrs

First Name

ANN

Last Name

Mc DERHOTT

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be made aware of

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

word of mouth, exhibitions

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 1 of 1

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- X - Impact on the green belt - *would change centuries old landscape*
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- X - Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met - *not aware about this, expensive to connect mains.*
- Impact on ecology
- X - Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance - *very noisy for travellers because of traffic*
- X - Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- X - Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities - *GP/Hospital - Warwick 3 miles*
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- X - Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians - *This site is not safe on busy A429.*
- X - Site topography - *Down hill close by.*
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

GT12 + (p51 + p39 of booklet)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

- ① ACCESS TO Road Network: Both sites are beside the busy, noisy A429. There have been a number of recent accidents and two fatalities at the wellage junction next to the sites. It is not suitable, particularly for young children to live there. There is noise pollution, petrochemical pollution.
- ② The nearest GP is in Warwick - 3 miles away, across the busy junction 15 of the A40. The hospital is the same distance, also no dentist practice in Barford. Community facilities are not geared for population increase.

For Official Use Only

Ref.

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet _____ of _____

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

It is my opinion that gypsy and traveller sites should be incorporated into big ^{new} housing developments, such as the recently approved housing estate between Bishop's Patchbrook + Southam.

I also wonder why we should have to provide special sites for any group outside the main stream of society. Who decided this must be so?

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

Please see above - putting a traveller's site on green country, beside a very busy road, with limited amenities nearby, and also near a big river does not make sense to me.