# LOCALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict



## Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only
Ref: 12494

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

#### Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2 Agents Details (if applicable)	
Title	MRS		<i>i</i>
First Name	GLENYS		e e
Last Name	GCGERLY	4	
Job Title (where relevant)			Demon
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address line 14		William - Proprieta William William St.	
Address Line 2************************************			
Address Line 3			- A
Address Line 4			26.
Postcode ***			
Telephone number	7		2
Emoil address			
THE RESIDENCE OF THE SECOND			
Would you like to be made aware	of fu		10.00
About You: Gender			1
Ethnic Ongin			
Age E. Han			Statement
100			
			Mark and the
Yhere did you hear about this cons	sultati		The state of the s

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation
Sheet of
The standard for suitability and

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of chieffa.
Which site are you responding to? 57 at 03
a g GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way.
What is the nature of your representation?
Please set out full details of your objection of representation of support with reference to the criteria above
I WISH TO REGISTER A STRONG OBJECTION TO THE INCLUSION OF SITE GT AHOS IN YOUR CONSULTATION DOCUMENT LOCAL PLAN - SITES FOR GYPSIE'S & TRAVELLERS
DATED MARCH 2014 THE SITE IS WITHIN THE GREEN BELT + IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS HAS BEEN THE THE SITE IS WITHIN THE GREEN BELT + IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF TWO PLANNING REFUSALS BY THE WOOD PLANNING COUNCILORS,
2009 & 2011. ON EACH OCCASION OUT SUPPORTED LOCAL RESIDENTS IN THEIR ALAN RHEAD A CLAIRE SANDON SUPPORTED LOCAL RESIDENTS IN THEIR ALAN RHEAD A CLAIRE SANDON FOR THEM AT THE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETINGS. OBJECTIONS BY SPEAKING FOR THEM AT THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE AT THE SITE HAS ALSO BEEN DISMISSED BY THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE AT THE SITE HAS ALSO BEEN DISMISSED BY THE PLANNING INSPECTION TO AN INSUNCTION TO AN APPEAL HEARING IN 2009 IN 2010 The WDC TOOK OUT AN INSUNCTION TO AN APPEAL HEARING IN 2009 IN 2010 THE WDC TOOK OUT AN INSUNCTION TO
PREVENT ANY DEVELOPMENT THEN ARE STILL VALID A RELEVANT TODAY
I THERE FORE REQUEST THAT SITE GT ON OF BE RECLASSIFIED AS A RED SITE 1 RETOVED FROM ANY CONSIDERATION IN THIS CONSOLT ATTOM.
For Official I Isa Only

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	Rep. Ref.

### Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation
Sheet of
If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that
you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?
Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy an Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's
details below:
$F \in \mathcal{C}$

For Official Use Only

et: