LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only

Ref: 12496

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2 Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	DEIRDRE	
Last Name	HALLAM	
Job Title (where relevant)	The strain definition of the strain definition	
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		37. 32.
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		ţ
Address Line 4 ***		
Postcode :		<u> 3.</u>
elephone number		72 20
mail address		er. T
Vould you like to be made aware		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age"		
Vhere did you hear about this con		
LOCAL RESIDE	MTS ASSOCIATION	

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet	of		
T	in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and		
	lity. These are the criteria:		
_	Impact on the green belt		
_	Impact on Landscape character		
_	Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets		
_	 Impact on heritage access of nature conservation Flooding issues 		
_	Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met		
_	Impact on ecology		
_	Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance		
_	Agricultural land quality		
_	Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area		
_	The potential for the site to be adequately screened		
_	- Access to the road network		
_	 Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities 		
Proximity to other residential properties			
_	Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land		
_	 Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians 		
-	- Site topography		
_	Suitable size		
_	Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)		
_	Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements		
Please gi	e your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.		
P. T. Salata Barrier	te are you responding to? GT alt 03		
le.g. Gl	04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)		
	the nature of your representation? Support Object: Comment*		
	the native or year approach to the second of		
Please s	et out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.		
	- SECULTER A STROWG OBJECTION TO THE INCUSION OF		
1	MISH TO LEGASTER		
	THE GT AH OS IN YOUR CONSULTATION DOCUMENT LOCAL PLAN-		
- ') 0 - + 2 0 2 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5	TES FOR OUPSIES ~ TRAVELLERS DATED MARCH 2014.		
) 	THE LAST S YEARS HAS ISEEN		
	THE PLANTING COMMITTEE STATE WAS PLANTING COMMITTEE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T		
10 T#	E SUBJECT OF 2 PLANNING REFUSITE SUPPORTED LOCAL RESIDENTS 2009 & 2011. ON EACH OCCASION OUR COUNCILLORS SUPPORTED LOCAL RESIDENTS HEIR OBJECTIONS. THE SITE HAS PLSO BEEN DISMISSED BY THE PLANNING HEIR OBJECTIONS. THE SITE HAS PLSO BEEN DISMISSED BY THE PLANNING		
in in	2009 & 2011 ON EACH OCCUSION OUR CONSTEN DISMISSED BY THE PLANNING		
114 7	HEIR OBJECTIONS. THE STIE HIS TOSO COLD IN 2010 THE WILL TOOK OUT DECTORATE AT AN APPEAL HEARING IN 2007 of IN 2010 THE WILL ARE STILL VALUE.		
125h	DECTORATE AT IN HIPPETIC HETICING IN DEVELOPMENT. THE CONDITIONS ARE STILL VALUE IN JUNCTION TO PREVENT ANY DEVELOPMENT. THE CONDITIONS ARE STILL VALUE.		
(T)	S THERE FORE INCONCENTABLE THAT IT SHOULD REGIVEN ANY CONSIDERATION		
ें हा न	HE AUTHORITY THAT REFUSED THESE APPLICATIONS.		
WE REQUEST THAT SITE GT ACT & 3 BE RECLASSIFIED AS A RED SITE			
	Use Only		
Ref:	Rep. Ref.		

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet

of

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

: /,

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

ry i

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.