



Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only
Ref:
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Shaun	
Last Name	Hussey	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of fut	ture consultations on the new Local Pla	an? X Yes No
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age	Under 16 16 - 24	25 - 34
	45 - 54 55 - 64	65+
Where did you hear about this consultat	tion e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mo	outh, exhibitions, parish council?
Colleagues		

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the	document you will	need to complete	a separate s	heet for e	each
representation					

representation
Sheet 1 of 2
Which part of the document are you responding to?
Page 4 Chapter Paragraph
Table or Figure Village Plan
What is the nature of your representation? Support Object
Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).
I am objecting to Land on Brome Hall Lane not being a prefered option site.
I have below outlined why this site should be promoted to a prefered option site.
Unfortunately, this site has not been promoted by the landowners until this stage. As one of the owners, I very much hope that the fact that this site has not been represented until this stage does not effect the outcome of this process.
The current reasons for this not being considered are 'distance from settlement', 'high landscape value', 'connections to potential local wildlife site'.
Distance from settlement: Subject to the above revised village boundary (to include Foremans Cottage as outlined below) this site would be immediately adjacent to the village boundary. This site is adjacent to the preferred option site number 4 and no further from the village centre than this site would be.
High Landscape Value: While this site is adjacent to the canal network (as with three of the preferred option sites 1, 2 & 6) it offers no additional landscape value over and above many of the other preferred option sites.
Connections to potential wildlife site: Again, I would strongly suggest that this site has no additional impact on wildlife than any of the other preferred option sites. I would be happy for a habitat survey to be undertaken to demonstrate this as part of the planning process.
The preferred option sites are lower impact: Development on Brome Hall Lane would be a lower impact than several of the current draft preferred option sites which have no road and services network to support them.
We would be happy to see Brome Hall Lane (option 13) developed to a very high quality low density development to respect the adjacent listed building (as proposed with preferred option site 2).
There is already residential development on either side of the field, which makes it a natural position for development (as shown in the attached ordenace survey plan).

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	Rep. Ref.

Part C - Commenting on the Indicative Settlement Boundaries

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

What is the nature of your representation? Support Supp	Sheet	2	of	2									
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary). I am objecting to Foremans Cottage not being included within the proposed village boundary, I have outlined below why this should be amended to include this property. As above unfortunately no representation has been made by the landowners until this stage. As the owners, we very much hope that this does not effect the outcome of this process. The draft proposal is to include the adjacent buildings (Canal Office and Engineering works/The Mill) and exclude Foremans Cottage. Reasons to include Foremans Cottage in the village boundary: Natural Geographical expansion — to the rear of my property, there is a defined historic tree line (as shown on the attached Arial view), given the age of the trees, this would make a more logical natural boundary than the current proposed draft boundary, which has only has some relative new hedging. My proposed new boundary would not represent an particularly un-uniform extension of the village boundary, particularly given its generally overall un-uniform nature. Foremans Cottage and the adjacent buildings (Canal office & Engineering works) were all formally owned by British Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the prop	Which	n settleme	nt ar	e you res	ponding t	to?			Lapworth / Kin	gswood			
I am objecting to Foremans Cottage not being included within the proposed village boundary, I have outlined below why this should be amended to include this property. As above unfortunately no representation has been made by the landowners until this stage. As the owners, we very much hope that this does not effect the outcome of this process. The draft proposal is to include the adjacent buildings (Canal Office and Engineering works/The Mill) and exclude Foremans Cottage. Reasons to include Foremans Cottage in the village boundary: Natural Geographical expansion — to the rear of my property, there is a defined historic tree line (as shown on the attached Arial view), given the age of the trees, this would make a more logical natural boundary than the current proposed draft boundary, which has only has some relative new hedging. My proposed new boundary would not represent an particularly un-uniform extension of the village boundary, particularly given its generally overall un-uniform nature. Foremans Cottage and the adjacent buildings (Canal office & Engineering works) were all formally owned by British Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties	What	is the nat	ture o	of your rep	oresentati	ion?			Support		Х	Object	
I am objecting to Foremans Cottage not being included within the proposed village boundary, I have outlined below why this should be amended to include this property. As above unfortunately no representation has been made by the landowners until this stage. As the owners, we very much hope that this does not effect the outcome of this process. The draft proposal is to include the adjacent buildings (Canal Office and Engineering works/The Mill) and exclude Foremans Cottage. Reasons to include Foremans Cottage in the village boundary: Natural Geographical expansion — to the rear of my property, there is a defined historic tree line (as shown on the attached Arial view), given the age of the trees, this would make a more logical natural boundary than the current proposed draft boundary, which has only has some relative new hedging. My proposed new boundary would not represent an particularly un-uniform extension of the village boundary, particularly given its generally overall un-uniform nature. Foremans Cottage and the adjacent buildings (Canal office & Engineering works) were all formally owned by British Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties	Plage	o sot out f	مل الدة	atails of w	our objec	tion or ron	recentation of su	ınn	ort If objecting	places set out v	what a	hanaos	
this should be amended to include this property. As above unfortunately no representation has been made by the landowners until this stage. As the owners, we very much hope that this does not effect the outcome of this process. The draft proposal is to include the adjacent buildings (Canal Office and Engineering works/The Mill) and exclude Foremans Cottage. Reasons to include Foremans Cottage in the village boundary: Natural Geographical expansion – to the rear of my property, there is a defined historic tree line (as shown on the attached Arial view), given the age of the trees, this would make a more logical natural boundary than the current proposed draft boundary, which has only has some relative new hedging. My proposed new boundary would not represent an particularly un-uniform extension of the village boundary, particularly given its generally overall un-uniform nature. Foremans Cottage and the adjacent buildings (Canal office & Engineering works) were all formally owned by British Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties										, piedse set out v	WHAT C	indinges	
much hope that this does not effect the outcome of this process. The draft proposal is to include the adjacent buildings (Canal Office and Engineering works/The Mill) and exclude Foremans Cottage. Reasons to include Foremans Cottage in the village boundary: Natural Geographical expansion — to the rear of my property, there is a defined historic tree line (as shown on the attached Arial view), given the age of the trees, this would make a more logical natural boundary than the current proposed draft boundary, which has only has some relative new hedging. My proposed new boundary would not represent an particularly un-uniform extension of the village boundary, particularly given its generally overall un-uniform nature. Foremans Cottage and the adjacent buildings (Canal office & Engineering works) were all formally owned by British Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties					_	_	ided within the pr	opo	osed village bour	ndary, I have outli	ned be	elow why	
Reasons to include Foremans Cottage in the village boundary: Natural Geographical expansion – to the rear of my property, there is a defined historic tree line (as shown on the attached Arial view), given the age of the trees, this would make a more logical natural boundary than the current proposed draft boundary, which has only has some relative new hedging. My proposed new boundary would not represent an particularly un-uniform extension of the village boundary, particularly given its generally overall un-uniform nature. Foremans Cottage and the adjacent buildings (Canal office & Engineering works) were all formally owned by British Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties							AND LONG TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	ıdo\	wners until this s	tage. As the own	ers, w	e very	
Natural Geographical expansion – to the rear of my property, there is a defined historic tree line (as shown on the attached Arial view), given the age of the trees, this would make a more logical natural boundary than the current proposed draft boundary, which has only has some relative new hedging. My proposed new boundary would not represent an particularly un-uniform extension of the village boundary, particularly given its generally overall un-uniform nature. Foremans Cottage and the adjacent buildings (Canal office & Engineering works) were all formally owned by British Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties				s to includ	e the adja	cent buildin	gs (Canal Office	and	d Engineering wo	orks/The Mill) and	exclu	de	
attached Arial view), given the age of the trees, this would make a more logical natural boundary than the current proposed draft boundary, which has only has some relative new hedging. My proposed new boundary would not represent an particularly un-uniform extension of the village boundary, particularly given its generally overall un-uniform nature. Foremans Cottage and the adjacent buildings (Canal office & Engineering works) were all formally owned by British Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties	Reas	sons to inc	clude	Foremans	Cottage i	n the villag	e boundary:						
given its generally overall un-uniform nature. Foremans Cottage and the adjacent buildings (Canal office & Engineering works) were all formally owned by British Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties	attac	ched Arial	view)	, given the	age of th	e trees, this	s would make a n	nore	e logical natural l				
Waterways (Now the Canal and River Trust) and are read aerially and on the ground as a group of buildings. All three buildings mentioned above are Grade II Listed, therefore the draft village boundary has not been located in this position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties		and the second s					n particularly un-u	unif	orm extension of	f the village bound	dary, p	articularly	
position to protect Listed Buildings (as this is the purpose of listed building consent in any case). In recent years, Foremans Cottage has had two extensions and a detached garage/office built. The attached Ordenance Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties			11.000		The same of the sa				- ·			ritish	
Survey plan demonstrates that there is now a higher density of buildings towards Canal office & Engineering works (included within the draft village boundary). When the property is viewed aerially, a natural break can be clearly seen between Foremans Cottage and the properties							The state of the s				n locat	ed in this	
	Surv	ey plan de	mon	strates tha	t there is	now a highe							
			-			natural brea	ak can be clearly	see	en between Fore	mans Cottage an	d the p	properties	

For Official Use Only
Ref:
Rep. Ref.

Guidance on Making Representations

- Please use this response form as it will help the Council to keep accurate and consistent records of all the comments on the Plan, alternatively complete online at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan
- · If you wish to make comments on more than one aspect of the Plan, please use a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each
- You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below
- It is important that you include your name and address as anonymous forms cannot be accepted. If your address
 details change, please inform us in writing
- · All forms should be received by Monday 20 January 2014.
- Copies of all the objections and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Local Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998
- To return this form, please drop off at one of the locations below, or post to: **Development Policy Manager**, **Development Services**, **Warwick District Council**, **Riverside House**, **Milverton Hill**, **Leamington Spa**, **CV32 5QH** or email: **newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk**

Where to see copies of the Plan

Copies of the Plan are available for inspection on the Council's web site at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan and at the following locations:

Location
Warwick District Council Offices Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa
Leamington Town Hall Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
Warwickshire Direct Whitnash Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash
Leamington Spa Library The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
Warwickshire Direct Warwick Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick
Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth
Warwickshire Direct Lillington Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa
Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa

You may also find information at venues in your local village or by contacting your local Parish Council.

Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP

Finham Community Library

Where possible, information can be made available in other formats, including large print, CD and other languages if required. To obtain one of these alternatives, please contact 01926 410410.

