OGALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict



Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only 1250

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

MP

First Name

KEVIN

Last Name

ELKINGTON

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be made aware

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

LOCAL PUBLIC MEETING.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sneet	
The pol	icy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and ability. These are the criteria:
	mpact on the green belt
_	Impact on Landscape character
	Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
_	Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
_	Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
_	Impact on ecology
_	Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
_	Agricultural land quality
_	Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
_	The potential for the site to be adequately screened
_	Access to the road network
_	Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
_	Proximity to other residential properties
_	Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
_	Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
_	Site topography
_	Suitable size
_	Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
_	Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements
	y as and and and another minute occasio responsitions.
Please (give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.
Which	site are you responding to?
_	9104
le.g. G	TO4 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)
What i	s the nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
TTIICE	s the nature of your representation? Support V Object V Comment
Please	set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
•	
	M OBJECTING TO THIS PROPOSAL ON THE GROUNDS THAT !
SAF	ETY CONCERNS THAT THIS SITE IS ADMACENT TO A VERY BUSY LINK
ROA	D BODGEN LEAVINGTON & FITE FOSTE WIND. ISOLATED LOCATION WITH
NO	6934 ACCES TO LOCAL SCRUCES AND INFRASTRUCTURE. THE VISUAL IMPACT
0F 1	TO COCATION AT THE ROAD-TIDE. THE REQUIREMENT TO FIND A RELOCATED
200E2	FOR THE FOOTBALL CLUB AND THE GOSTS THIS WILL INCUR. THIS SITE NOT APPEAR TO MEET THE NATIONAL PLANNING FRAMEWOCK BEROMENDATION
	T I HAVE SEEN, NOR DOES IT APPEAR TO MEET THE KINDAMENTAL PLANNING
	ERIA LAND OUT BY THE NPPF. I DO NOT THINK THIS LOCATION IN
cos	IE PROXIMIN TO BRENWELL CHICKEN FARM WILL BE GOOD FOR
TRAV	ELERS AS I HAVE PERSONARY WITHERED THE SMELL FROM THIS
SITE	JUST DRIVING PAST ON A REGULAR BASIS.

Rep. Ref.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

Take B. Commonaing on the Cypoy and Taveller Trees.
If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation
Sheet of
If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?
Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only

Ref: