Part A - Personal Details

	Personal Details* If an agent is appointed, plead boxes below but complete the factors.	Agent's Details (if applicable) ase complete only the Title, Name and Organisation full contact details of the agent in section 2.
Title	MRS	
First Name	JANE	
Last Name	JANE MACKENZIE	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
3. Notification of subsequent stages	s of the Local Plan	
Please specify whether you wish to b		
The submission of the Modifications to		Yes No
Publication of the recommendations to carry out an independent examination	of any person appointed ion of the Local Plan	Yes No
		Yes No

For Official Use Only		
Person ID:	Rep ID:	
T GISOIT ID.		

Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

4. To which proposed Modification to the Submission Plan or the updated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) does this representation relate?

Modification or SA:	10 00
Mod. Number:	1 TO11 14 TO16 19-22
Paragraph Number	
Mod. Policies Map Number:	
5. Do you consider the Loca	al Plan is :
5.1 Legally Compliant?	Yes No V
5.2 Sound?	Yes No V
6. If you answered no to qu	uestion 5.2, do you consider the Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not
(Please tick)	
Positively Prepared:	
Justified:	
Effective:	
Consistent with National	Policy:

	pliance or soundness of the Proposed Modifications, please also use this box to set out your comments.
EE	ATTACHED
Ple: Dist	npliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy of
Plea Dist this con tex	ase set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick trict Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally appliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or t. Please be as precise as possible.
Plea Disi this con tex The iden	ase set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick trict Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally inpliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or
Plea Dist this con tex The iden	ase set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick trict Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally inpliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or t. Please be as precise as possible. The modifications have far reaching impact on Stoneleigh and Ashow Joint Parish. The area of greenbelt has been sufficient as important and functioning well in its role of limiting urban sprawl and maintaining the integrity of the ages. The modifications have not been subjected to democratic scrutiny. They are not supported by an adequate traisal of the implications for this area and the wider area. Until these issues are addressed the Local Plan will
Plea Dist this con tex The iden	ase set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick trict Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally inpliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or t. Please be as precise as possible. The modifications have far reaching impact on Stoneleigh and Ashow Joint Parish. The area of greenbelt has been sufficient as important and functioning well in its role of limiting urban sprawl and maintaining the integrity of the ages. The modifications have not been subjected to democratic scrutiny. They are not supported by an adequate traisal of the implications for this area and the wider area. Until these issues are addressed the Local Plan will
Plea Disi this con tex The iden	ase set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick trict Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally inpliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or t. Please be as precise as possible. The modifications have far reaching impact on Stoneleigh and Ashow Joint Parish. The area of greenbelt has been sufficient as important and functioning well in its role of limiting urban sprawl and maintaining the integrity of the ages. The modifications have not been subjected to democratic scrutiny. They are not supported by an adequate traisal of the implications for this area and the wider area. Until these issues are addressed the Local Plan will
Plea Disi this con tex The iden	ase set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick trict Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally inpliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or t. Please be as precise as possible. The modifications have far reaching impact on Stoneleigh and Ashow Joint Parish. The area of greenbelt has been sufficient as important and functioning well in its role of limiting urban sprawl and maintaining the integrity of the ages. The modifications have not been subjected to democratic scrutiny. They are not supported by an adequate traisal of the implications for this area and the wider area. Until these issues are addressed the Local Plan will
Plea Disi this con tex The iden	ase set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick trict Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally inpliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or t. Please be as precise as possible. The modifications have far reaching impact on Stoneleigh and Ashow Joint Parish. The area of greenbelt has been sufficient as important and functioning well in its role of limiting urban sprawl and maintaining the integrity of the ages. The modifications have not been subjected to democratic scrutiny. They are not supported by an adequate traisal of the implications for this area and the wider area. Until these issues are addressed the Local Plan will

to support/justify the representation and the suggested changes, as there will not hornally be a subsequent opportantly to make further representations. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

Most of the modified submission relates to increasing the number of new houses and propose building in the narrow greenbelt between Kenilworth-Stoneleigh and Coventry. This is contrary to the NPPF requirements to maintain separation between towns and prevent coalescence.

The proposed new housing numbers are based on Coventry housing numbers and population expansion which have not been approved in a LP examination and could be revised before 2017; therefore the proposals are unjustified and premature.

The Coventry CC claim to not have any alternative sites has not been critically examined. Large areas of Coventry Greenbelt could be developed which would have far less damage than WDC's proposals.

The claim by WDC to be providing sub-regional solutions in Warwick District to provide employment land and overspill housing for the Coventry part of the West Midlands Combined Authority is against the NPPF requirements that all new development should have a Sustainability Assessment. The proposals require a Sub-Regional Sustainability Assessment to be legally valid.

Warwick District Council have not provided an overall sub-regional plan to indicate the way their proposals fit in with neighbouring development and this poor planning process makes the proposals ineffective.

The NPPF requires consultation on any Local Plan and this has not been undertaken A solution has been proposed and has not been determined by detailed analysis of the sub regional problems and consultation with the residents. The lowest tier of democratic representation, the Parish Councils have just been presented with major changes to their area and have not been consulted before decisions were made.

Working to an October 2015 Memorandum of Agreement with the neighbouring Councillors and Planners to provide additional housing for the West Midlands Combined Authority is circumventing the democratic process and the NPPF

The impact of several thousand new houses at Thickthorn and Kings Hill next to the A46 which is running at over capacity has not been considered. WCC confirm that the A46 currently carries almost as much traffic as the M40 and 50% more traffic than the M69 (86,000 vehicle movements per day in 2014).

	If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
No, I	do not wish to participate at the oral examination
Yes,	I wish to participate at the oral examination
	f you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider his to be necessary:
17	IS A DENOCRATIC PROCESS
Cor	ntinue on a separate sheet if necessary
renres	e note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral sentations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have ted that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.
11.	Declaration
l und	derstand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will nade publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.
Sign	ed:
Date	22.4.16.
office Loc held	pies of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's ces at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the all Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be done a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning dications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

Rep ID:

For Official Use Only

Person ID: