

# LOCAL PLAN

helping shape the district



## Consultation on Proposed Modifications (2016) Response Form

For Official Only	
Person ID	
Rep ID	

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Proposed Modifications

This form has two parts:

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your Representations

If your comments relate to more than one proposed Modification you will need to complete a separate Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or alternatively extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the Modifications have been made available (see the table below). You can also respond online using the Council's e Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

Please provide your contact details so that we can get in touch with you regarding your representation(s) during the examination period. Your comments (including contact details) cannot be treated as confidential because the Council is required to make them available for public inspection. If your address details change, please inform us in writing. You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below.

All forms should be returned by **4.45pm on Friday 22 April 2016**

To return this form, please deliver by hand or post to: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH** or email: [newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk](mailto:newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk)

## Where to see copies of the documents:

Copies of the proposed Modifications, updated Sustainability Appraisal and all supporting documents are available for inspection on the Council's web site at [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan) and also at the following locations:

- Warwick District Council Offices, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa;
- Leamington Town Hall, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Whitnash, Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash
- Leamington Spa Library, The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Warwick, Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick
- Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth, Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth
- Warwickshire Direct Lillington, Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa
- Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa
- Finham Community Library, Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP

WARDC PLANNING
Ref
Officer
22 APR 2016
SCANNED
CC CR PD MA
PRE GEN DIS

## Part A - Personal Details

### 1. Personal Details\*

### 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

\* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in section 2.

Title

Mrs

First Name

CHERYL

Last Name

GOODE

Job Title (where relevant)

P.A.

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

### 3. Notification of subsequent stages of the Local Plan

Please specify whether you wish to be notified of any of the following:

The submission of the Modifications to the appointed Inspector

Yes

☒

No

☐

Publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan

Yes

☒

No

☐

The adoption of the Local Plan.

Yes

☒

No

☐

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

## Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

### 4. To which proposed Modification to the Submission Plan or the updated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) does this representation relate?

Modification or SA:	Modification
Mod. Number:	Mod 19
Paragraph Number	
Mod. Policies Map Number:	Map 21 site H53

### 5. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

5.1 Legally Compliant?

Yes ☒ No ☐

5.2 Sound?

Yes ☐ No ☒

### 6. If you answered no to question 5.2, do you consider the Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not:

(Please tick)

Positively Prepared:

☐

Justified:

☒

Effective:

☒

Consistent with National Policy:

☐

7. Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Modifications, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The wider infrastructure (Birmingham Road) will struggle to support the additional traffic inevitability generated by the occupiers of 55 homes.

At peak times the road is already congested with commuters and parents driving to work and children to and from school.

It will put unacceptable pressure on existing local services, GP's, Schools & public transport and on local facilities i.e children's play areas.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please see attached sheet.

8. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Oakland Farm site, is not subject to traffic calming, and Barcheston Drive is.

Brounley Green Lane is too narrow + therefore unsuitable for 55 homes. Both Budbrooke Primary and Ferncume Schools are already full and there is a shortage of places for additional pupils. It will not enhance the landscape; it will not improve safety. It will not enhance the provision of open space

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please see attached sheet.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested changes, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

9. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☒

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

10. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral representations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

#### 11. Declaration

I understand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will be made publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.

Signed:

Date:

18<sup>th</sup> April, 2016.

Copies of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Local Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:



## Modification

### Mod 19

#### Map 21 site H53

#### Additional words from Mrs Cheryl Goode

**Point Number 7.** The wider infrastructure (Birmingham Road) will struggle to support the additional traffic inevitably generated by the occupiers of the 55 new homes. At peak times the road is already congested with commuters and parents driving to work and children to and from school. It will put unacceptable pressure on existing local services, GP's, Schools and public transport and on local facilities, i.e. children's play areas. In addition, there have been a number of accidents on the Birmingham Road, some serious and a number of fatalities in recent years and an increase in traffic volume will increase the already alarming statistics. There appear to be more houses in the plan than are actually required. The plan is silent on the strategic transport / highway improvements required to support this additional 55 homes. The health provision is not addressed. The medical facilities are stretched already we are being told in the local press. The educational infrastructure is not addressed – there is a shortage of suitably qualified Teachers and an unknown number of additional school places – neither of which have been addressed. The lack of Highways information is a cause for concern. Is there a Travel Plan? Ferncumbe School is currently full with numbers on roll being increased from 160 to 201 already to cope. Clearly local education provision is not addressed as a number of parents are not achieving their first choice of schools in the area either. The 2012 legislation allowed development by demonstrating "exceptional circumstances". This does not allow this on the basis of housing need when there are more houses in the plan than are actually needed. This extra housing was identified in October 2015 so to put forward this area off Barcheston Drive as a suitable venue within 6 months is not proven. There are already traffic calming features in Barcheston Drive and Brownley Green Lane is too narrow. Brownley Green Lane is also a rural lane which would be entirely unsuitable for further development as the access and egress is not viable at all. This is after all a rural location and the significant extension of 55 homes to what is already a large, sprawling Hatton Housing Estate is in my view unwarranted. In my view the projection for housing need in the region is based on a blip in demand and growth does not necessarily follow the same trajectory, hence we may not need as many houses as forecast. With this in mind, I strongly question the decision to remove the green belt as a result of so called "special circumstances" to support the additional 55 homes on Brownley Green Lane.

Point number 8. I would suggest Oaklands Farm – being 0.9 hectares would be suitable for 10 houses – this would be more justified as the place is nearer to more suitable forms of public transport and would not remove land from the green belt in Brownley Green Lane. It is also more sustainable as this is a site that could be expanded in the future and does have a better access – it is already used by commercial vehicles.

[Redacted signature block]

Cheryl Goode

[Redacted contact information]