Part A - Personal Details

Person ID:

	1. Personal Details* * If an agent is appointed, please	2. Agent's Details (if applicable) complete only the Title, Name and Organisation contact details of the agent in section 2.
Fitle	boxes below but complete the full $M\mathcal{K}$.	contact details of the agent in section 2.
First Name	Prise	
Last Name	ROBER JOHNSON	
Lastinaile	JOHN NOOT	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	-	
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3	-	
Address Line 4		
Postcode	-	
Telephone number		
Email address		
3. Notification of subsequent stage. Please specify whether you wish to The submission of the Modifications. Publication of the recommendation to carry out an independent examination. The adoption of the Local Plan.	be notified of any of the following: s to the appointed Inspector ns of any person appointed	Yes No Yes No
		PLANNING Ref Officer 2 0 APR 2016 SCANNED CC CR FD MA
For Official Use Only		
Person ID:	Rep ID:	

Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

4. To which proposed Modification to the Submission Plan or the updated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) does this representation relate?

Modification or SA:	REMOVAL OF LANDN	CRTH OF MILVERTON FROM THE GREEN	, BELT
Mod. Number:	16		
Paragraph Number	2.81		
Mod. Policies Map Number:	144		
5. Do you consider the Loc	cal Plan is :		
5.1 Legally Compliant?		Yes No No	
5.2 Sound?		Yes No V	

6. If you answered no to question 5.2, do you consider the Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not:

(Please tick)	
Positively Prepared:	
Justified:	
Effective:	
Consistent with National Policy:	

compliance	or soundness of	the Proposed Modifications,	please also use this box to s	u wish to support the legal set out your comments.
	SEE	ATTACHED	SEPARATE	SHEET
Continue on	a separate sheet if	necessary		
District Locathis relates compliant of	al Plan legally cor to soundness. Y	npliant or sound, having regar 'ou will need to say why this cl helpful if you are able to put	d to the test you have identifien nange will make the Local Pla	n/Sustainability Appraisal legal

SEE ATTACHED SEPARATE SHEET.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested changes, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

For	Official	Use	Only
For	Official	Use	Only

Section 7. Comments on why modifications to sections 16 and 14 are unsound.

I consider that the exceptional circumstances required to remove the land north of Milverton from the green belt have neither been stated nor demonstrated by Warwick District Council.

It is alleged that the proposed development is to support Coventry's housing needs. This land has high value, therefore residential properties to be built on it would likely be of high value. As these properties would be much closer to Learnington and Kenilworth than to Coventry, they would be likely to be more attractive to prospective purchasers wishing to live and work in those locations, than in Coventry, thus defeating the objective of such a modification to the plan.

It is understood that Coventry is concerned not to increase commuting from outlying areas into the city. Notwithstanding my comments in the previous paragraph, this proposed development would either not help to meet Coventry's needs, or add to the problems caused by commuting, i.e. an increase in road traffic, and a negative affect on air quality. It would be much more appropriate to consider land with a lower green belt value, closer to the existing boundaries of the city of Coventry, including any land available in the District Council areas of Bedworth and Nuneaton, or Rugby.

Any development in the proposed area of land is likely to add to the volume of road traffic on the A 452, and have a knock on effect on the B4113 road between Blackdown and Stoneleigh. The village of Stoneleigh is particularly unsuited to cope with any increase in traffic volumes.

The proposal for a park and ride scheme for Leamington, irrespective of where one would be situated, is particularly questionable, and perhaps stems from Warwick District Council's refusal to accept that Leamington town centre's appeal as a shopping venue, certainly for out of town visitors, is declining, as is evident in the steadily increasing number of empty shops there. Two factors contribute to this – the growth of both internet shopping, and out of town shopping centres, the latter being specifically designed to attract car users. Has a demand for a park and ride scheme been identified, and how has it been assessed? Most of the recent property developments in the town centre have been residential (residents would not use park and ride), and businesses employing people coming in to work tend to be situated out of the town centre. So is it intended that buses from a park and ride scheme would visit various areas in the town? This proposal needs a re-think.

The proposal for a station in or near Old Milverton village also appears to be ill conceived. A new station would require widening of approach roads, provision for car parking, a vehicle drop off area, and presumably links to a bus service. These developments would overwhelm the village, and would change the nature of it so much that it would effectively cease to exist.

A new railway station could not possibly be justified by the building of just 250 homes. It seems, therefore, that the proposal to build one is somewhat devious, as it assumes that many more homes will be built in the area to be served by a new station—although such additional development does not form part of this modification. In any event, might it not be an idea to wait until Kenilworth station is opened, in order to judge the effect of that on its surrounding area?

Section 8.Changes to the proposed modifications sound

The development proposal on the land north of Milverton should be removed, and substituted by proposals to develop land much closer to Coventry, which is capable of delivering the required housing, and which has a lower 'green belt' value. The land north of Milverton should remain as green belt.

	If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
No, I o	do not wish to participate at the oral examination
Yes,	wish to participate at the oral examination
	you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider is to be necessary:
Cont	inue on a separate sheet if necessary
represe	note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral intations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have ded that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.
11. D	Declaration
l unde	erstand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will ade publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.
Signed	
olylle	
Date:	14 th April, 2016
office Local held	es of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's es at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the I Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning cations in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.
For Offi	cial Use Only
Person	D. 1D.