

Consultation on Proposed Modifications (2016) Response Form

For Official Only	
Person ID	
Rep ID	

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Proposed Modifications

This form has two parts:

- Part A – Personal Details
- Part B – Your Representations

If your comments relate to more than one proposed Modification you will need to complete a separate Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or alternatively extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the Modifications have been made available (see the table below). You can also respond online using the Council's e Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Please provide your contact details so that we can get in touch with you regarding your representation(s) during the examination period. Your comments (including contact details) cannot be treated as confidential because the Council is required to make them available for public inspection. If your address details change, please inform us in writing. You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below.

All forms should be returned by **4.45pm on Friday 22 April 2016**

To return this form, please deliver by hand or post to: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH** or **email: newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk**

Where to see copies of the documents:

Copies of the proposed Modifications, updated Sustainability Appraisal and all supporting documents are available for inspection on the Council's web site at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan and also at the following locations:

- Warwick District Council Offices, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa;
- Leamington Town Hall, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Whitnash, Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash
- Leamington Spa Library, The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Warwick, Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick
- Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth, Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth
- Warwickshire Direct Lillington, Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa
- Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa
- Finham Community Library, Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details*	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Malcolm	
Last Name	Tattum	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		

3. Notification of subsequent stages of the Local Plan

Please specify whether you wish to be notified of any of the following:

- The submission of the Modifications to the appointed Inspector Yes No
- Publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan Yes No
- The adoption of the Local Plan. Yes No

For Official Use Only	
Person ID:	Rep ID:

Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

4. To which proposed Modification to the Submission Plan or the updated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) does this representation relate?

Modification or SA:	Inclusion of King's Hill
Mod. Number:	14
Paragraph Number	Policy DS15
Mod. Policies Map Number:	36 King's Hill

5. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

5.1 Legally Compliant?

Yes No

5.2 Sound?

Yes No

6. If you answered no to question 5.2, do you consider the Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not:

(Please tick)

Positively Prepared:

Justified:

Effective:

Consistent with National Policy:

7. Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Modifications, please also use this box to set out your comments.

In 2015, WDC participated in West Midlands Green Belt Study. King's Hill is identified in this study in Broad Area 3 and Land Parcel C14. In the findings of this summary, Broad Area 3 concludes that "this area makes a considerable contribution to all the purposes of Green Belt; checking the sprawl of Kenilworth and Coventry; preventing the merging of neighbouring towns in the long term of Kenilworth and Coventry". Specifically, the C14 Land Parcel covering King's Hill is classified within the Higher-performing Green Belt parcels. The findings report "the parcels bordering the southern and western edges of Coventry contribute significantly to the purposes of Green Belt" with C14 (King's Hill) in particular singled out as having "good intervisibility with the historic core of Coventry". Further it states "contain roads which would be at risk from ribbon development and few significant boundaries. Without the Green Belt designation, the land within the parcels would therefore be vulnerable"

The King's Hill proposal is unsound because it was not positively prepared, it was a rapid response to the failings of the original Local Plan and was included without reference or consultation, in fact it was not even mentioned before the 24th Feb Council meeting. It is unjustified, it places too many houses in one concentrated area, it creates a 'village' which dwarfs nearby Finham and historic Stoneleigh and becomes the largest single development in the Local Plan. All prior proposals to build on King's Hill Green Belt have been rejected, some at Government/Cabinet level. It is not effective, considerable infrastructure change will be required and local wildlife, water & flood risk, and the natural environment will be significantly adversely affected.

If this is a response to a housing shortfall, why are office buildings and railway station included in the submission?

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

8. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

King's Hill development should be withdrawn, the Council's submission claims protecting the Green Belt is a priority and this development is inconsistent with that statement.

However, in the WM 2015 Joint Green Belt Study, Land Parcels C19 & C20 - land towards the South Western edge of Coventry at Westwood Heath are both identified as lesser performing Green Belt parcels than King's Hill. In fact C19 is particularly identified in the "Low-performing Green Belt parcel" and the report concludes "C19 to the south of Coventry in Warwick is also considered to make a less significant contribution to the Green Belt... The buildings and infrastructure associated with the campus represent significant urbanizing influences which have encroached upon the countryside within the Green Belt and compromise its openness".

The Local Plan refers to protecting for continued expansion of Warwick University. Westwood Heath has existing employment areas & opportunities and has already had house-building over recent years. Land Parcels C19 & C20 offer many more opportunities for development than does the area around King's Hill.

WDC's proposal for King's Hill should be rejected and replaced by a new plan looking to build on existing developments towards Westwood Heath.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested changes, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

X 9. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

10. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral representations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

11. Declaration

I understand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will be made publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.

Signed:



Date:

20/4/16

Copies of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Local Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID: