

5051

LOCAL PLAN

helping shapethedistrict



Consultation on Proposed Modifications (2016) Response Form

For Official Only	
Person ID	
Rep ID	

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Proposed Modifications

This form has two parts:

- Part A – Personal Details
- Part B – Your Representations

If your comments relate to more than one proposed Modification you will need to complete a separate Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or alternatively extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the Modifications have been made available (see the table below). You can also respond online using the Council's e Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Please provide your contact details so that we can get in touch with you regarding your representation(s) during the examination period. Your comments (including contact details) cannot be treated as confidential because the Council is required to make them available for public inspection. If your address details change, please inform us in writing. You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below.

All forms should be returned by **4.45pm on Friday 22 April 2016**

To return this form, please deliver by hand or post to: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH or email: newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk**

Where to see copies of the documents:

Copies of the proposed Modifications, updated Sustainability Appraisal and all supporting documents are available for inspection on the Council's web site at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan and also at the following locations:

- Warwick District Council Offices, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa;
- Leamington Town Hall, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Whitnash, Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash
- Leamington Spa Library, The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Warwick, Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick
- Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth, Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth
- Warwickshire Direct Lillington, Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa
- Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa
- Finham Community Library, Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in section 2.

Title	MR	
First Name	MEIRION	
Last Name	MORGAN	
Job Title (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		

3. Notification of subsequent stages of the Local Plan

Please specify whether you wish to be notified of any of the following:

The submission of the Modifications to the appointed Inspector

Yes No

Publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan

Yes No

The adoption of the Local Plan.

Yes No

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

4. To which proposed Modification to the Submission Plan or the updated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) does this representation relate?

Modification or SA:	MODIFICATION
Mod. Number:	MOD. 19
Paragraph Number:	-
Mod. Policies Map Number:	MAP 21 site 453

5. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

5.1 Legally Compliant?

Yes No

5.2 Sound?

Yes No

6. If you answered no to question 5.2, do you consider the Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not:

(Please tick)

Positively Prepared:

Justified:

Effective:

Consistent with National Policy:

7. Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Modifications, please also use this box to set out your comments.

- LOCATION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IS TOTALLY UNSUITABLE,
- THERE ARE MASSIVE INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES.
- THERE ARE NO 'SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES TO JUSTIFY THIS PROPOSAL.
- VITAL ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES.
- APPEARS TO BE A LAST MINUTE ADDITION TO THE PLAN - NOT FULLY THOUGHT THROUGH.
- SEE ATTACHED FOR MORE DETAIL.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

8. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

- THE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL HOUSING ARISES IN GOOD PART FROM COVENTRY 'OVERSPILL' PRESSURE. WE HAVE NOT BEEN GIVEN EVIDENCE OF THE ACTUAL HOUSING NUMBERS REQUIRED AND NO INDICATION THAT EXISTING BROWN SITES / BUILDERS' LAND BANKS ARE BEING FULLY UTILISED BEFORE NEW GREEN BELT IS TAKEN UP.
- HAVE SITES WITH GOOD SCHOOL, HEALTH AND TRANSPORT FACILITIES BEEN FULLY EXPLORED? THIS PROPOSAL IS GREEN BELT WITH NONE OF THESE FACILITIES.
- PREVIOUS SITES HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED AND NOW DISAPPEARED - WHY?
- SEE ATTACHED FOR MORE DETAIL.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested changes, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

9. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

10. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral representations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

11. Declaration

I understand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will be made publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.

Signed:

Date:

13.04.2016

Copies of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Local Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

7. Continued.

- a. Access to H53 is proposed through Barcheston Drive, within the existing Estate. Far too narrow for construction traffic with traffic calming features to protect pedestrians including schoolchildren.
- b. Access to Barcheston Drive from the site would be very steep – I would be surprised that Highways would agree to this bearing in mind the very close proximity to the Village Hall, children's play area and Ball Park (the only amenities for the whole estate). So close, they would need to cut through the existing Village Hall car park. Highly dangerous to residents/children using these facilities. The location of what would be a busy junction (during the building and after completion stages) is totally inappropriate.
- c. Infrastructure Issues. The Birmingham Road is a major trunk route, extremely heavily congested at peak times and very busy at other times. Construction traffic and in due course the number of additional cars flowing in/out of the Estate will escalate the problem considerably. With no school, college, medical or other facilities on the Estate all residents have to drive to other locations. There have already been fatalities and serious road accidents – increased traffic volumes will escalate the issues.
- d. What are the "special circumstances" to justify this incursion on new Green Belt/agriculture land? No wider analysis evident of the numbers required and how these fit with projected use of current brown sites/builders land banks.
- e. Environmentally unsound. Heavy existing use of resident's cars as no schools, medical centre, shops or good public transport on site. No necessary infrastructure identified in the Local Plan to mitigate these issues - just add more houses!
- f. H53 is a highly visible site impacting a large number of existing houses on the north west of the Estate – 2 to 3 years of construction noise would be high impact and blight the values and saleability of a high number of properties. New houses would have a massive impact on existing properties. This Green Belt site was not considered before in the Local Plan iterations, so clearly not a preferred site. Overall number of new houses now proposed at Hatton Park unnecessarily high for a Parkland development and disproportionate with the very limited facilities on the Estate.
- g. A Government Spokesman said in December 2015 that "planning reforms mean that local people decide where developments should or should not go". There has been no consultation here with a last minute change being made to the Local Plan, no opportunity for local people to fully debate the options available and the soundness of what is proposed.

8. Continued.

- a) Have any sites closer to rail links such as the highly popular Warwick Parkway Station been considered? Consideration given to reduce traffic flows by using sites where pedestrian traffic can access rail, school facilities?

Sites omitted from the Local Plan. Oaklands Farm was considered before but now deleted. Whilst use of the site for housing does not help the Birmingham Road traffic volume issues, it is directly accessible to this Road and does not endanger the existing residents/pedestrians on Hatton Park and the not inconsiderable access implications of H53.

Latest news indicates that there are thousands of properties unoccupied in many large conurbations – has this issue been addressed to assist in solving the shortage of housing problem?