





Consultation on Proposed Modifications (2016) Response Form

For Official Only	
Person ID	
Rep ID	

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Proposed Modifications

This form has two parts:

Part A -- Personal Details
Part B -- Your Representations

If your comments relate to more than one proposed Modification you will need to complete a separate Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or alternatively extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the Modifications have been made available (see the table below). You can also respond online using the Council's e Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Please provide your contact details so that we can get in touch with you regarding your representation(s) during the examination period. Your comments (including contact details) cannot be treated as confidential because the Council is required to make them available for public inspection. If your address details change, please inform us in writing. You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below.

All forms should be returned by 4.45pm on Friday 22 April 2016

To return this form, please deliver by hand or post to: Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Learnington Spa, CV32 5QH or email: newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk

Where to see copies of the documents:

Copies of the proposed Modifications, updated Sustainability Appraisal and all supporting documents are available for inspection on the Council's web site at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan and also at the following locations:

- Warwick District Council Offices, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Learnington Spa;
- · Leamington Town Hall, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- · Warwickshire Direct Whitnash, Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash
- · Leamington Spa Library, The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Warwick, Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick
- Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth, Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth
- Warwickshire Direct Lillington, Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa
- Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Learnington Spa
- Finham Community Library, Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP

Part A - Personal Details

	Personal Details* If an agent is appointed, please boxes below but complete the full	2. Agent's Details (if applicable) complete only the Title, Name and Organisation contact details of the agent in section 2.
Title	Ms	
First Name	Mary	
Last Name	Heslop	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
3. Notification of subsequent stages of Please specify whether you wish to be no The submission of the Modifications to the	otified of any of the following:	Vos A No
The submission of the Modifications to the	e appointed inspector	Yes No
Publication of the recommendations of a to carry out an independent examination of	any person appointed of the Local Plan	Yes No
The adoption of the Local Plan.		Yes No
For Official Use Only		
Person ID:	Rep ID:	

Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

To which proposed Modification to t	e Submission Plan or the updated Sustainability	Appraisal
(SA) does this representation relate?	•	••

Modification or SA:	Removal of land ne	orth of Milverton from the Green Belt
Mod. Number:	16	
Paragraph Number	2.81	
Mod. Policies Map Number:	H44	
5. Do you consider the Loca	ut Plan is :	
5.1 Legally Compliant?		Yes No No
5.2 Sound?		Yes No 🖊
6. If you answered no to que	estion 5.2, do you consider th	ne Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not:
(Please tick)		
Positively Prepared:		
Justified:	\checkmark	
Effective:	✓	
Consistent with National Po	olicy:	

7.	Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Modifications, please also use this box to set out your comments.
	The EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES required by the National Planning Policy Framework to remove the land North of Milverton from the Green Belt have not been demonstrated by Warwick District Council. The proposed development is to support Coventry City Council's housing need. There are sustainable sites closer to Coventry that should be used preference to the land north of Milverton to reduce unnecessary commuting, inevitable congestion and further road construction. In practice it is unlikely that people who want to live and work in Coventry will buy houses inland north of Milverton and therefore this development proposal will not support Coventry's housing need. Precedents for releasing land from the Green Bellt requires the value of potential sites to the Green Belt to be taken into account and those with the least value to be removed from the Green Belt first. WDC in cooperation with Coventry City Council has assessed sites on the edge of Coventry as being of lower Green Belt value. Even if development at Old Milverton was acceptable, as a sustainable location for development, there are sites with a lower Green Belt value that should be used in preference. The 'green lung' between Learnington and Kenilworth will be reduced to less than one and a half miles. The picturesque northern gateway to the historic Regency town of Royal Learnington Spa will be destroyed. [Continued on separate sheet]
	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
	Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
	The land north of Milverton should remain in the Green Belt The development proposed on the land north of Milverton should be reallocated to alternative sites closer to Coventry which have a lower green belt value and are capable of delivering the required housing
-	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
to su make	se note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary pport/justify the representation and the suggested changes, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to a further representations. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and as he/she identifies for examination.

Rep ID:

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

[Continuation sheet]

Highly productive farming land will be lost together with long established wildlife habitat. The residents of local towns will be deprived of an area which is highly valued and sustainable for walking, running, cycling, riding, bird watching and is also used by local schools for educational walks. The proposed park and ride scheme is unsustainable because:

- there will be no dedicated buses so users will have to time visits to coincide with the bus timetable
- the site is too close to Learnington
- it will be better if the site was focussed on the A46 roundabout with the A452 which could form part of the Thickthorn development and provide for Leamington, Warwick, Kenilworth, Warwick Uni and potentially Coventry
- Much of the traffic using the A452 crosses to the South of Learnington where there are major employers
- Shoppers are unlikely to use the park and ride when there is plenty of parking in Leamington
- Oxford appears to have the only park and ride scheme in the country which really works and this is because there is such limited parking in Oxford City centre
- There are already a lot of car parks in this area of Green Belt with impervious surfaces all of which reduce the area's ability to absorb rainfall and contribute to flooding

A railway station is unviable because the railway line is in a deep cutting in old Milverton making construction impractical

9.	If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
No,	do not wish to participate at the oral examination
Yes,	I wish to participate at the oral examination
	f you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider his to be necessary:
Con	tinue on a separate sheet if necessary
represe	note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral entations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have ed that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.
11. [Declaration Control of the Control o
	erstand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will ade publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.
Signe	d:
Date:	19 April 2016
office Local held o	es of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's set at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning cations in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.
For Office	ial Use Only
Person i	D: Rep ID:

Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

4. To which proposed Mod	ification to the Submission	Plan or the updated	Sustainability Appraisal
(SA) does this representat	ion relate?		,

Modification or SA:	Removal of land north of Milverton for development
Mod. Number:	14
Paragraph Number	Policy DS15
Mod. Policies Map Number:	H44
5. Do you consider the Loca	ıl Plan is ;
5.1 Legally Compliant?	Yes No No
5.2 Sound?	Yes No V
6. If you answered no to que	estion 5.2, do you consider the Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not:
(Please tick)	
Positively Prepared:	
Justified:	
Effective:	
Consistent with National Po	olicy:

	which have a lower green belt value and are capable of delivering the required housing
text. Pie	Passe be as precise as possible. The land north of Milverton should remain in the Green Belt The development proposed on the land north of Milverton should be reallocated to alternative sites closer to Coventry
District I this rela- complia	set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where tes to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legall nt or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or
Continue	on a separate sheet if necessary
	The 'green lung' between Leamington and Kenilworth will be reduced to less than one and a half miles. The picturesque northern gateway to the historic Regency town of Royal Learnington Spa will be destroyed. [Continued on separate sheet]
	WDC in cooperation with Coventry City Council has assessed sites on the edge of Coventry as being of lower Green value. Even if development at Old Milverton was acceptable, as a sustainable location for development, there are site with a lower Green Belt value that should be used in preference. The 'green lund' between Loggington and Konikursth will be reduced to location and a helf wiles.
	In practice it is unlikely that people who want to live and work in Coventry will buy houses inland north of Milverton at therefore this development proposal will not support Coventry's housing need. Precedents for releasing land from the Green Bellt requires the value of potential sites to the Green Belt to be taken account and those with the least value to be removed from the Green Belt first.
	The proposed development is to support Coventry City Council's housing need. There are sustainable sites closer to Coventry that should be used preference to the land north of Milverton to reduce unnecessary commuting, inevitable congestion and further road construction.

Rep ID:

issues he/she identifies for examination.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

[Continuation sheet]

Highly productive farming land will be lost together with long established wildlife habitat. The residents of local towns will be deprived of an area which is highly valued and sustainable for walking, running, cycling, riding, bird watching and is also used by local schools for educational walks. The proposed park and ride scheme is unsustainable because:

- there will be no dedicated buses so users will have to time visits to coincide with the bus timetable
- · the site is too close to Learnington
- it will be better if the site was focussed on the A46 roundabout with the A452 which could form part of the Thickthorn development and provide for Learnington, Warwick, Kenilworth, Warwick Uni and potentially Coventry
- Much of the traffic using the A452 crosses to the South of Learnington where there are major employers
- Shoppers are unlikely to use the park and ride when there is plenty of parking in Learnington
- Oxford appears to have the only park and ride scheme in the country which really works and this is because there is such limited parking in Oxford City centre
- There are already a lot of car parks in this area of Green Belt with impervious surfaces all of which reduce the area's ability to absorb rainfall and contribute to flooding

A railway station is unviable because the railway line is in a deep cutting in old Milverton making construction impractical

9.	If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
No,	I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
Yes	, I wish to participate at the oral examination
	If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
Co	ntinue on a separate sheet if necessary
repres	e note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral entations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have ed that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.
11.	Declaration
	lerstand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will lade publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.
Signe	ed:
Date:	19 April 2016
office Loca held	es of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's es at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the I Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning cations in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.
For Off	icial Use Only
Person	ID: Rep ID:

.