

13899

# LOCAL PLAN

helping shape the district



## Consultation on Proposed Modifications (2016) Response Form

For Official Only	
Person ID	
Rep ID	

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Proposed Modifications

This form has two parts:

- Part A – Personal Details
- Part B – Your Representations

If your comments relate to more than one proposed Modification you will need to complete a separate Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or alternatively extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the Modifications have been made available (see the table below). You can also respond online using the Council's e Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

Please provide your contact details so that we can get in touch with you regarding your representation(s) during the examination period. Your comments (including contact details) cannot be treated as confidential because the Council is required to make them available for public inspection. If your address details change, please inform us in writing. You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below.

All forms should be returned by **4.45pm on Friday 22 April 2016**

To return this form, please deliver by hand or post to: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH** or email: [newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk](mailto:newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk)

### Where to see copies of the documents:

Copies of the proposed Modifications, updated Sustainability Appraisal and all supporting documents are available for inspection on the Council's web site at [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan) and also at the following locations:

- Warwick District Council Offices, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa;
- Leamington Town Hall, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Whitnash, Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash
- Leamington Spa Library, The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Warwick, Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick
- Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth, Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth
- Warwickshire Direct Lillington, Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa
- Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa
- Finham Community Library, Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP

# Part A - Personal Details

## 1. Personal Details\*

## 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

\* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in section 2.

Title

MRS	
-----	--

First Name

LYNETTE	
---------	--

Last Name

SUTTON	
--------	--

Job Title (where relevant)

--	--

Organisation (where relevant)

--	--

Address Line 1

--	--

Address Line 2

--	--

Address Line 3

--	--

Address Line 4

--	--

Postcode

--	--

Telephone number

--	--

Email address

--	--

## 3. Notification of subsequent stages of the Local Plan

Please specify whether you wish to be notified of any of the following:

The submission of the Modifications to the appointed Inspector

Yes  No

Publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan

Yes  No

The adoption of the Local Plan.

Yes  No

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

# Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

### 4. To which proposed Modification to the Submission Plan or the updated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) does this representation relate?

HAMPTON MAGNA (H27 + HSI)

Modification or SA:	INCREASE IN HOUSING DENSITY (H27) SOUTH OF ADDRESS BOUL
Mod. Number:	MOD 16
Paragraph Number	2.81
Mod. Policies Map Number:	20

### 5. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

5.1 Legally Compliant?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.2 Sound?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### 6. If you answered no to question 5.2, do you consider the Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not:

(Please tick)

Positively Prepared:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Justified:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Consistent with National Policy:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

I WISH TO OBJECT TO THE

7. Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Modifications, please also use this box to set out your comments.

A) CONSULTATION PROCESS

RESIDENTS OF HAMPTON MAGNA NOT NOTIFIED OF REVISED PLAN. I AM ALSO AWARE THAT THE PARISH COUNCIL WAS NOT CONSULTED PRIOR TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION PERIOD (I PERSONALLY FOUND OUT BY ACCIDENT EARLY 2016 (A MONTH AFTER CONSULTATION PERIOD OPENED)). WARWICK DC FAILED IN THEIR DUTY TO CONSULT / ENSURE AWARENESS OF CHANGE + INVOLVE THE COMMUNITY.

B) DOCUMENTS = EXTENSIVE / DIFFICULT TO FOLLOW AND UNDERSTAND THE IMPLICATIONS.

C) ABILITY TO COMMENT ON PLAN - COMPLICATED SYSTEM (E-CONSULTATION NOT CLEAR) / FORMS DO NOT DOWNLOAD.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

8. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

CARRY OUT ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT / TRANSPORT / INFRASTRUCTURE ON HAMPTON MAGNA. - USE TO DETERMINE LEVEL OF HOUSING

ENSURE ALL ALTERNATIVE SITES / BROWN FIELD HAVE BEEN EXPLORED.

CAP NUMBER (%) IN GROWTH VILLAGES (2070) - EXPLORE PROVISION OF HOUSING NEARER TO COVENTRY WHERE TRANSPORT LINKS ARE DIRECT.

ENSURE USE OF GREENFIELD SITES ARE ONLY UTILISED IN EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested changes, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

22/04/2016

①

I APOLOGISE FOR HANDWRITTEN INFORMATION BUT I HAVE HAD IMMENSE DIFFICULTY WITH ACCESSING FORMS AND USING THE E-CONSULTATION SYSTEM

I WISH TO OBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING MODIFICATIONS RELATING TO:-

SA:- HAMPTON MAGNA (H27 + H51)  
MOD 16

Paragraph No:- 2.81  
Policies map 20

This relates to increase in housing density to land (H27) from 100 to 130 houses and allocation of land south of Wayd Close for 115 houses (H51)

## SECTION 7

### A) Consultation process

Residents of Hampton Magna were not notified of the revised and I am also aware the Parish Council were also not consulted until the revised plan was published in March 2016, I personally found out by accident in April 2016.

Documents relating to the local plan are numerous and difficult to follow the implications of the proposals.

The ability to comment on the revised plan is complicated - unable to download form and e-consultation is not clear.

(2)

I believe that Warrick District Council have failed in their duty to involve the community in the revised plan or fully ensure consultation has been fair.

- B) The revised plan is proposed to increase housing from 100 - 245 in Hampton Magna
- this will disproportionately increase the village size by 41%
  - will reduce the identity of Hampton Magna.
  - will put pressure on local services (GP/school/health and social care) the primary school is already under pressure (+ will have to cope with increased housing at Holton Park)
  - there have been longstanding issues with infrastructure (power/sewers/drains) (see Parish Council notes), there is no acknowledgement on how this is to be addressed. An additional 245 homes will add increased pressure.
  - there are no plans to improve/expand local services.
  - no provision to protect species/or ensure open spaces.
  - this level of increase in homes is unsustainable.

③

c) A substantial proportion of the documents refer to housing shortage in Coventry and provision will be made in Warwickshire.

Hampton Magna has no direct public transport links to Coventry and involve at least 2 changes and significant amount of time to travel.

This would significantly increase the traffic from the village to the A46.

I note that some modifications to Stanks roundabout are proposed but there is already significant issues with traffic flow as Hampton Magna is used as a cut-through. Roads into and out of the village are narrow (3 are single lane) at points there and 4 way lights at Parkway station. An increase of 245 homes will result in a minimum of 245 cars leading to more pollution and congestion.

The railway station provides direct route to London/Birmingham and new housing will attract commuters to these cities and not Coventry.

In addition within Hampton Magna, there are currently issues of parking and keeping the bus route clear.

The additional housing will not meet need (replacement) of local community

(4)

In addition, there has not been a re-evaluation of the impact of increasing the housing development to 245 on the transport system locally.

#### d) Use of Green Belt.

- National policy states that building on green belt should only occur in exceptional circumstances.
- land south of Hayed Close (HS1) was ruled out in 2014 (Village Sites Appraisal Matrix 2014)
- it is unclear why this land is now suitable and the 2 documents conflict.
- the council has not pursued compulsory purchase and it is unclear if all brown field / other sites have been fully evaluated.
- the land south of Hayed Close is not the best site and access will be difficult. other land recently has been for sale and not considered.
- the proposed development is not sustainable and therefore does not meet National policy.



9. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

10. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral representations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

#### 11. Declaration

I understand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will be made publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.

Signed:

Date:

22/04/16

Copies of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Local Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

## Section 8

- 1) carry out assessments of the impact of 245 homes on the environment/transport and infrastructure of Hampton Magna. This can be used to determine effect of proposals.
- 2) cap the development in line with other 'growth' villages as Hampton Magna has disproportionate proposals (? 20%)
- 3) ensure all sites have been fully explored.
- 4) ensure Green Belt land is only used in exceptional circumstances