

**From:** Andrew Pike [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 02 May 2022 14:51  
**To:** Planning Policy  
**Subject:** Net Zero Carbon Development Plan - Regulation 19 Consultation

Caution: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk

Dear Sirs,

I am generally very much in support of the proposed plan, but have two comments:

There are various references in the draft Plan to compliance with it being subject to that being 'feasible' in the light of the type of development and its design, and also to where it must be 'viable' for a design to comply. Surely, these 'loopholes' would give an opportunity for developers to get around full compliance? I suggest that developers should create designs that comply with the Plan, and if they do not their designs should be rejected, rather than them creating designs which do not comply, and then seeking to take advantage of the loose wording about feasibility or viability in the Plan.

While the draft Plan seems mainly about buildings, traffic issues are also of major concern in urban areas such as Warwick and Leamington. I believe that there should be much wider use of traffic 'calming' measures (eg. speed bumps, chicanes etc) on urban roads (such as exist on Clemens Street in Leamington) in order to reduce speeds, and therefore carbon emissions, as well as improving safety generally. It also seems a nonsense that cars and diesel powered buses are still allowed to pass down the Parade in Leamington, through the heart of a pedestrian shopping area. I appreciate that, if the Parade was pedestrianised, traffic would still have to use other roads around the edges of the town, but that would at least avoid the concentrated pedestrian area around the Parade.

Thank you.

Andrew Pike