

Preferred Options Response Form

2012

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Preferred Options version of the new Local Plan.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available for members of the public. You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr/Mrs	
First Name	ROBERT	
Last Name	WATKINS	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	OXHILL HOUSE	
Address Line 2	NEW ROAD	
Address Line 3	NORTON LINDSEY	
Address Line 4		
Postcode	CV35 8JB	
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations on the new Local Plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
About You: Gender	MALE	
Ethnic Origin	ENGLISH	
Age	<input type="checkbox"/> Under 16	<input type="checkbox"/> 16 - 24
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25 - 34	<input type="checkbox"/> 35 - 44
	<input type="checkbox"/> 45 - 54	<input type="checkbox"/> 55 - 64
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 65+	

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO4

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO4 - Preferred Option: Distribution of Sites for Housing

- Norton Lindsey has been identified as a Category 2 village with a proposed allocation of up to 80 new houses.
- Norton Lindsey does not have the facilities to support the proposed number of homes.
- Norton Lindsey has a poor and narrow road structure through and out of the village and an inevitable increase in traffic will create additional dangers.
- Norton Lindsey enjoys an historic hill top setting with adjacent medieval ridge and furrow fields - the village is very sensitive to development due to the prominent location. It is essential that the nature and character of Norton Lindsey is not severely compromised by development which could increase the number of houses by more than 50%.
- Norton Lindsey does not fit in with the suggested approach to the broad location of growth (PO3) due to not fulfilling requirement that:
 - "Any housing development must be built close to existing services and facilities so that people do not have to travel far to get to schools, shops, leisure facilities or workplaces."
- It should reduce the impact on the environment by both its location and design.
- It should not adversely impact on quality environments and historic settings.

Norton Lindsey Should Be 'DECLASSIFIED'

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?

e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) ~~Preferred Options (Full Version)~~

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO10

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites – Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO10 – Preferred Option: Built Environment

– Building 30-80 houses in Norton Lindsey will not comply with the specified needs as detailed in the booklet to:

➤ “Protect the historic environment”

- *Norton Lindsey enjoys an historic hill top setting with adjacent medieval ridge and furrow fields – the village is very sensitive to development due to the prominent location. It is essential that the nature and character of Norton Lindsey is not severely compromised by development which could increase the number of houses by more than 50%*

➤ “Design for safe communities and good access to services”

- *Norton Lindsey has a poor road network, a limited number of footpaths within the village and no cycle paths, additional housing requires an infrastructure which does not exist in Norton Lindsey.*
- *There are no shops or medical facilities within the village.*
- *The school falls within Stratford upon Avon District Council and is fully subscribed.*

➤ “Design to reduce carbon emissions”

- *The location of Norton Lindsey and the essential use of private vehicles will increase carbon emissions.*
- *Norton Lindsey has only 4 buses per week leaving the village, 2 to Stratford and 2 to Solihull.*
- *There is no safe route to cycle from the village.*

For Official Use Only

Ref.

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) ~~Preferred Options (Full Version)~~

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO11 – Historic Environment

- Residents of Norton Lindsey are proud of the historic and attractive nature of the village and specifically the Conservation Area.
- Development on the scale proposed in the Local Plan would severely impact on the historic environment.
- In addition to the Conservation Area there are a number of listed buildings and historical landmarks, the context of which needs to be sympathetically maintained.
- The village has grown in a "ribbon style" along the main routes of Wolverton Road, Main Street and Snitterfield Lane, and development outside this context and style would adversely impact on the historic environment and setting of landmark buildings and street scenes.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO 12

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO12 - Preferred Option: Climate Change

- Norton Lindsey is a small village with extremely limited transport facilities. Most houses have to have two vehicles and an increase in housing would add to carbon emissions and increase the rate of climate change.
- The use of vehicles is essential due to very limited public transport services and no bus services to Warwick or Leamington Spa (only 4 buses leaving the village per week - 2 to Solihull & 2 to Stratford).
- No cycle lanes / tracks, dangerous to cycle out of the village.

For Official Use Only

Ref.

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?

e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) ~~Preferred Options (Full Version)~~

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO14

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO14 - Preferred Option: Transport

- Norton Lindsey has inadequate public transport (4 buses leaving the village per week - 2 to Solihull & 2 to Stratford) and cannot provide adequate services for the current residents - additional housing would severely increase the problem.
- Increased use of vehicles would be essential and this would add to the dangers for pedestrians, children and animals.
- The roads and lanes are narrow (with a number of tight bends) around the village and leading out towards Warwick, Snitterfield and Claverdon. There are a series of well known "accident spots" on the edge of the village.
- This is a rural location with frequent moving of livestock and farm machinery, and exercising of horses - increased traffic would be a further danger.
- Main Street is very narrow with no pavements or safe areas for pedestrians.
- No cycle lanes / tracks, dangerous to cycle out of the village.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to? e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)	<input type="text" value="BOOKLET"/>
Which part of the document are you responding to? Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)	<input type="text" value="PO16"/>
Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)	<input type="text"/>
Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites – Whole District)	<input type="text"/>
What is the nature of your representation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Object
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).	
<input type="text"/>	

PO16 – Preferred Option: Green Belt

- The Green Belt has successfully protected Norton Lindsey without restricting limited redevelopment/new housing on a proportionate scale. Accordingly there is no need to redraw the Green Belt boundary.