



Preferred Options Response Form

2012

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	5431
Rep. Ref.	4251

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Preferred Options version of the new Local Plan.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available for members of the public. You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	DR.	
First Name	RICHARD	
Last Name	MORRIS	
Job Title (where relevant)	—	
Organisation (where relevant)	—	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode	[REDACTED]	
Telephone number	[REDACTED]	
Email address	—	
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations on the new Local Plan?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
About You: Gender	[REDACTED]	
Ethnic Origin	[REDACTED]	
Age	[REDACTED]	



Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO4, p.7

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

No.7

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?



Support



Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO4

No.7, 'Thickthorn'

I support the proposal to locate housing etc here
because it is the best site for this purpose in Kenilworth.

It should also bring improvements to the transport infrastructure towards the A46, especially sustainable transport.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO 6

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?



Support



Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO6

COMMENT: In addition to (a)-(d), consideration should be given to higher density housing in urban centres, to meet targets. Poor examples of land use abound the district (e.g. the residential redevelopment of the former Warneford Hospital site, Leamington).

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO 11

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites – Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?



Support



Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO11

COMMENT: To achieve this, it is essential that WDC maintains proper funding for professional expert advice in conservation, planning and archaeology: either through their own properly qualified staff or through subsidies to local bodies providing such services for the District (e.g. WCC).

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO 14

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO14

I strongly agree with the aims of PO14
and not to support or provide for HS2.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO16

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PO16

I strongly disagree with the proposal to alter the Green Belt boundaries, for the reasons implied in the second paragraph.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

TRANSPORT, p.17

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?



Support



Object

Some proposals

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

TRANSPORT p.17

I particularly support the proposals for:

- New railway station in Kenilworth
- Improvements to junctions in Kenilworth
- Priority to buses following improvements to A452 & Europa Way
- Improvements to the cycling network, especially K2L and 'missing links'

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

TRANSPORT p.17

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object
Some proposals

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

TRANSPORT p.17

- I very strongly object to a North Leamington Relief Road.
It would jeopardise the Green Belt and ruin the countryside around Old Milverton and across to Guys Cliffe/Saxon Mill, an area rich in historic heritage and recreational amenity.
- I also question the value of the proposed Park & Ride located north of Leamington.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

p.19

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support Object
Some proposals

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE p.19

I support:-

- The idea of a country park north of Kenilworth, to preserve this wedge of recreational green space between Kenilworth and Coventry.
- The permitting of a cycle route (shared with pedestrians) east/west across Abbey Fields, Kenilworth, to link the Connect2 Greenway with the Sustrans NCN route at Castle Farm.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

p.20

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object
one proposal

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE p.20

I do not support the idea of restoring the Mere around Kenilworth Castle.

It would remove the marvellous network of footpaths around the south and west sides of the castle and destroy the rural setting of the ruined castle which has been there since 1650 (immortalised by artists such as J M W Turner).

Also, the full extent of the medieval mere is generally not appreciated , and the maintenance issues that would come with it.

So much can be achieved nowadays with digital reconstructions for public display and information, such that actual reconstruction is unnecessary.

(I am the author of the current guidebook to Kenilworth Castle)