LOCALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict



Preferred Options Response Form

2012

	_	_		
For O	fficial	Use (Only	
	~ .			
Ref:	9	3 1		
	1	1		
Rep. R	of			
Kep.	voi.			

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Preferred Options version of the new Local Plan.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available for members of the public. You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details		2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR.		
First Name	GREGORY		WDC PLANNING
Last Name	MR. GREGORY Dyson		Ref Officer
Job Title (where relevant)			2 5 JUL 2012
Organisation (where relevant)			SCANNED
Address Line 1			ĈĈ ĈR PD MA PRE GEN DIS
Address Line 2			PRE
Address Line 3			
Address Line 4			
Postcode			
Telephone number			
Email address		J	
Would you like to be made aware	of future consultations on the	new Local Plan?	Yes No
About You: Gender			
Ethnic Origin			
Age	Under 16	16 - 24	25 - 34 35 - 44
	45 - 54	55 - 64	65+

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation Sheet Which document are you responding to? FULL VERSION e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version) Which part of the document are you responding to? PO H Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1) Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant) Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District) What is the nature of your representation? Support Object Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). I am concerned that the wording in 7.22 / POH/page 21, B. Category land 2 Villages - "land within the village envelope will be removed from the Green Belt to enable development to take place" - is too loose & should make reference to the need to retain the wal feel of the villages. IN RADFORD SEMENT for example the open fields in front of the White Lion pSb, the open view of the Church across the field in front ofit to the open fields believed the playing fields community centre are probably the most impostant elements in making Kadford Semele a village and not merely a suburb. In sommary, the retention of valuable sceneryenhancing open space within village envelopes should be encouraged not discouraged.

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	Rep. Ref.